# ROCKWALL CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING <br> Tuesday, September 07, 2021-5:00 PM <br> City Hall Council Chambers - $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ Goliad St., Rockwall, TX 75087 

I. Call Public Meeting to Order
II. Executive Session.

The City of Rockwall City Council will Recess into Executive Session to discuss the following matter as authorized by Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code:

1. Discussion regarding the RCH Water Supply and Blackland Water Supply Corporation (WSC) contracts, pursuant to Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
2. Discussion regarding countywide ambulance services contract, pursuant to $\$ 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
III. Adjourn Executive Session
IV. Reconvene Public Meeting (6:00 P.M.)
V. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance - Mayor Kevin Fowler
VI. Proclamations / Awards / Recognitions
3. Presentation of Citizen Life Saving Awards to: Rockwall Police Department

Officer Mitchell Attaway - Life Saving Award
Officer Simon Comerford - Life Saving Award
Officer Curtis Sasson - Life Saving Award
Sergeant Mike Watson - Life Saving Award
Presentation of Police Commendation Award to: Rockwall Police Department
Officer Aaron Raymond
2. Constitution Week
3. Hispanic Heritage Month
VII. Open Forum

This is a time for anyone to address the Council and public on any topic not already listed on the agenda or set for a public hearing. Per Council policy, public comments should be limited to three minutes out of respect for other citizens' time. If you have a topic that warrants longer time, please contact the City Secretary at kcole@rockwall.com to be placed on the Agenda during the "Appointment Items" portion of the meeting. This will allow your topic to be provided sufficient time for discussion and will permit proper notice to be given to the public. On topics raised during Open Forum, please know Council is not permitted to respond to your comments during the meeting since the topic has not been specifically listed on the agenda (the Texas Open Meetings Act requires that topics of discussion/deliberation be posted on an agenda not less than $\mathbf{7 2}$ hours in advance of the Council meeting). This, in part, is so that other citizens who may have the same concern may also be involved in the discussion.
VIII. Take any Action as a Result of Executive Session

## IX. Consent Agenda

These agenda items are routine/administrative in nature, have previously been discussed at a prior City Council meeting, and/or they do not warrant Council deliberation. If you would like to discuss one of these items, please let the City Secretary know before the meeting starts so that you may speak during "Open Forum."

1. Consider approval of the minutes from the August 16,2021 regular city council meeting, and take any action necessary.
2. Consider approval of the minutes from the August 24, 2021 Special City Council (Budget Work Session) meeting, and take any action necessary.
3. Z2021-028 - Consider approval of an ordinance for a Text Amendment to Section 10, Fee Schedule, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] for the purpose of creating a Non-Compliant Structure application fee for Specific Use Permit (SUP) applications (2nd Reading).
4. Z2021-029 - Consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of an ordinance for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1465 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 28 , Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 104 Reliance Court, and take any action necessary (2nd Reading).
5. Z2021-030 - Consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of an ordinance for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1637-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 12, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 118 Mischief Lane, and take any action necessary (2nd Reading).
6. Z2021-031 - Consider a request by Tino and Judy Liscano on behalf of Jeremy Lance Epton for the approval of an ordinance for a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a 4.95-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Epton Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 2065 \& 2075 Airport Road, and take any action necessary (2nd Reading).
7. P2021-045 - Consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.
8. MIS2021-011 - Discuss and consider a request by Matt Waivering of the Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC) for the approval of an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for a 137.448-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 5 \& 6 of the J. H. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 (SH-276 OV) District, generally located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Springer Road and Rochelle Road, and take any action necessary.

## X. Appointment Items

1. Appointment with the city's ART Commission Chairman to hear a presentation regarding a public art Painted Butterfly Art project and to consider authorizing associated funding in the amount of $\$ 10,000$ from the city's "Art in Public Places" funds, and take any action necessary.
2. Appointment with representative(s) of Suntex RHCL Marina, LLC to hear plans for reconstruction of "H," "I," and "J" docks at the Chandler's Landing Marina, and take any action necessary.
XI. Public Hearing Items

If you would like to speak regarding an item listed below, please turn in a (yellow) "Request to Address City Council" form to the City Secretary either before the meeting or as you approach the podium. The Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem will call upon you to come forth at the proper time. Please limit your comments to no more than three minutes.

1. Hold a public hearing to receive comments regarding the Proposed FY2022 City of Rockwall Budget and tax rate, and take any action necessary.

## XII. Action Items

If your comments are regarding an agenda item below, you are asked to wait until that particular agenda item is up for discussion, and the Mayor or Mayor Pro Tem will call you forth to the podium to hear your comments (please limit to $\mathbf{3}$ minutes or less). This allows for all public comments to be grouped with each specific agenda item for the Council to consider, and they are then easily referenced in meeting recordings.

1. Discuss and consider directing staff to initiate a text amendment to Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) for the purpose of changing the Permissible Use Chartsto require a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Urban Residential land use in the Downtown (DT) District, and take any action necessary.
2. Discuss and consider appointments to the city's Youth Advisory Council (YAC), and take any action necessary.
XIII. City Manager's Report, Departmental Reports and related discussions pertaining to current city activities, upcoming meetings, future legislative activities, and other related matters.
3. Building Inspections Monthly Report
4. Fire Department Monthly Report - July 2021
5. Parks \& Recreation Department Monthly Report - July 2021
6. Police Department Monthly Report - July 2021
7. Sales Tax Historical Comparison
8. Water Consumption Historical Statistics
XIV. Executive Session.

The City of Rockwall City Council will Recess into Executive Session to discuss the following matter as authorized by Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code:

1. Discussion regarding the RCH Water Supply and Blackland Water Supply Corporation (WSC) contracts, pursuant to Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
2. Discussion regarding countywide ambulance services contract, pursuant to $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
XV. Reconvene Public Meeting \& Take Any Action as Result of Executive Session

## XVI. Adjournment

This facility is wheelchair accessible and accessible parking spaces are available. Request for accommodations or interpretive services must be made 48 hours prior to this meeting. Please contact the City Secretary's Office at (972) 771-7700 or FAX (972) 771-7727 for further information.

The City of Rockwall City Council reserves the right to adjourn into executive session at any time to discuss any of the matters listed on the agenda above, as authorized by Texas Government Code $\mathbf{q} 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney) 9551.072 (Deliberations about Real Property) ๆ 551.074 (Personnel Matters) and $\mathbb{\|} \mathbf{5 5 1 . 0 8 7}$ (Economic Development)

I, Kristy Cole, City Secretary for the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby certify that this Agenda was posted at City Hall, in a place readily accessible to the general public at all times, on the 3rd day of September, 2021 at 4:00 p.m. and remained so posted for at least 72 continuous hours preceding the scheduled time of said meeting.

## SYNOPSIS FOR LIFE SAVING AND COMMENDATION AWARDS

 ROCKWALL POLICE DEPARTMENTOn Thursday, June 24, 2021, at approximately 12:19 a.m., Sergeant James Watson and Officers Aaron Raymond, Mitchell Attaway, Curtis Sasson, and Simon Comerford responded to the Horizon Road bridge over IH-30 in reference to a possible suicidal person. Locating the subject was initially difficult; however, after they examined the bridge more closely, they discovered a female seated on a small ledge outside of the West jersey barrier. The ledge was designed as a base for a light pole and was approximately 2 square feet in diameter. The officers quickly began formulating a plan to respond to the incident and control traffic in the event she jumped or fell from the ledge.

Officer Raymond took over the primary communications with the female who was clearly in mental distress and possibly intoxicated. He quickly established a rapport with the female, who ultimately indicated she wished to come back over the barrier to safety. Officer Raymond and the other officers on scene worked in unison to assist the female in standing up and getting back over the barrier. She was ultimately taken into protective custody for a mental evaluation at a local hospital.

Due to the quick and calculated response of all the officers involved and under the calm leadership of Sergeant Watson, the female was rescued from a very precarious position. All officers involved demonstrated outstanding performance under stressful and difficult conditions and acted swiftly to intervene for a citizen who was in emotional distress. For their actions, Sergeant Watson, Officers Attaway, Sasson, and Comerford are hereby awarded the Life Saving Award and Officer Raymond is awarded the Police Commendation Award.

## City of Rockwall

(2)hereas, the Constitution of the United States of America, the guardian of our liberties, embodies the principles of limited government in a Republic dedicated to rule by law; and

OWhexeas, September 17, 2021, marks the two hundred and thirty-fourth anniversary of the framing of the Constitution of the United States of America by the Constitutional Convention; and

Qhexeas, it is fitting and proper to accord official recognition to this magnificent document and its memorable anniversary, and to recognize the patriotic celebrations which will commemorate the occasion; and

Whexeas, Public Law 915 guarantees the issuing of a proclamation each year by the President of the United States of America, annually designating one week in September as Constitution Week,

Cour, Thexcfore, I, Kevin Fowler, Mayor of the City of Rockwall, Texas, by virtue of the authority vested in me, do herby proclaim the week of September 17 through September 23, 2021 as

## CONSTITUTION WEEK

in the City of Rockwall, and ask our citizens to reaffirm the ideals the Framers of the Constitution had in 1787 by vigilantly protecting the freedoms guaranteed to us through this guardian of our liberties.

In OWiness Thereaf, I have

hereunto set my hand and official seal this the $7^{\text {th }}$ day of September, 2021.


## 据roclamation

Whereas, from the earliest days of American existence, Hispanic Americans have written essential chapters in our nation's collective story; and

OWhereas, Hispanic Americans are diverse and represent many cultures, enriching American communities and sharing the dream of equality and vast opportunity toward a perfect Union; and

OWhereas, the Hispanic community has had a profound influence on our country through their strong commitment to family, faith, hard work, and services, and they have enhanced and shaped their national heritage with centuries old traditions that reflect the multiethnic and multicultural customs of their community; and

Whereas, September ${ }^{15}$-October 15, 2021, is Hispanic Heritage Month, a month dedicated to celebrating the culture and recognizing the achievements of Latinos; and

OWhereas, the city of Rockwall recognizes the importance of the contributions of the Latino community to the robust history and economic prosperity of our community; and

Whereas, on September 16, 2021, Mexico and Latinos celebrate the $21^{\text {th }}$ anniversary of the beginning of Mexico's struggle for independence from Spain;

Tau, Therefore, I, Kevin Fowler, Mayor of the City of Rockwall, Texas, do hereby proclaim September 15 - October 15, 2021 as

## Hispanic Heritage Month

in the City of Rockwall and encourage all citizens to celebrate the history and heritage of the Hispanic people and to recognize their lasting contributions to the growth and development of our community, state and country.

In Ohetuess OWhereaf. I hereunto set my hand and official seal on this $7^{\text {th }}$ day of September 2021.


IRvin $\sqrt{15} \mathfrak{o m l e r}$, flavor

# ROCKWALL CITY COUNCIL REGULAR MEETING <br> Monday, August 16, 2021-5:00 PM <br> City Hall Council Chambers - $\mathbf{3 8 5}$ Goliad St., Rockwall, TX 75087 

I. Call Public Meeting to Order

Mayor Kevin Fowler called the public meeting to order at 5:00 p.m. Present were Mayor Kevin Fowler, Mayor Pro Tem John Hohenshelt and Council Members Clarence Jorif, Dana Macalik, Anna Campbell, and Trace Johannesen. Also present were Assistant City Manager Joey Boyd and City Attorney Frank Garza. Council Member Bennie Daniels and City Manager Mary Smith were absent from the meeting.

Mayor Fowler then read the below listed discussion item into the record before recessing the public meeting to go into Executive Session.
II. Executive Session.

The City of Rockwall City Council will Recess into Executive Session to discuss the following matter as authorized by Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code:

1. Discussion regarding possible sale/purchase/lease of real property in the vicinity of Airport Road \& John King Blvd pursuant to Section $\S 551.072$ (Real Property) and Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
2. Discussion regarding possible development at Rockwall Airport pursuant to Section $\S 551.072$ (Real Property) and Section §551.071 (Consultation with Attorney).
3. Discussion regarding possible sale/purchase/lease of real property in the vicinity of Rockwall Technology Park pursuant to Section §551.072 (Real Property) and Section §551.071 (Consultation with Attorney).
4. Pulled from public agenda - Appointment Item \#3: Appointment with the City Attorney and staff to address questions raised by resident Bob Wacker during an appointment with the City Council on August 2, 2021, and take any action necessary
III. Adjourn Executive Session

Council adjourned from Executive Session at 5:56 p.m.
IV. Reconvene Public Meeting (6:00 P.M.)

Mayor Fowler reconvened the public meeting at 6:00 p.m.
V. Invocation and Pledge of Allegiance - Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt

Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt delivered the invocation and led the Pledge of Allegiance.
VI. Open Forum

Mayor Fowler explained how Open Forum is conducted and asked if anyone would like to come forth and speak at this time.

Frank Tyminiski
815 Oak Hollow Lane
Rockwall TX

Mr. Tyminiski came forth and shared that he has looked into some things concerning the 'downtown apartments' since the time of the last city council meeting. He spoke to a representative at TXDOT who indicated that a "TIA" (traffic impact analysis) must be done concerning these proposed apartments. He believes the proposed additions for turn lanes at this intersection will make it dangerous, and he does not believe that a TIA was conducted before the Siren Rock Brewery was built. It is the developer's responsibility to order and pay for a TIA, but at this point - the developer has not indicated that he is doing one. He briefly explained the TIA process, as it was explained to him by the TXDOT representative with whom he recently spoke. Once the TIA has been conducted and the findings have been issued, he would like the City to do a 'public hearing' on the findings. He spoke with Cindy Tayem, an Oncor representative, about the power lines / power conductors, pointing out that one line will be within 10 feet of the roofline of the apartments. He has asked for a radiation and distance survey to be conducted, and Mrs. Tayem will be passing along his request to Oncor's "right-of-way department." He does not yet have an understanding of the microwave radiation that the lines will put out. He found out the developer will not be associated with HUD at all. He would also like to know when TXDOT will take over John King Boulevard, pointing out that it is already 'falling apart.'

Bob Wacker
309 Featherstone Dr.
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Wacker showed a presentation to the Council related to the apartments that are slated to be built in the downtown area. He is opposed to their construction, and he shared additional details to support his viewpoints on the matter (overall, he does not believe its architecture or scale will be complimentary to existing development in the downtown area). In addition, he does not believe that the apartments truly meet the city's technical requirements, and he referred back to prior P\&Z Commission meetings and information that was stated in past P\&Z informational meeting 'packets.' He spoke about the proposed parking garage portion of the apartment building, and believes that a major, notable change to its façade was made. He spoke about the building height and its calculation, insisting that the building will be five stories (not four stories). Overall, Mr. Wacker is opposed to the apartments that are slated to be built in the downtown area. He does not believe that they meet the city's technical requirements, and he does not believe that the waivers that were made could be made without the development going before the City Council for review and approval.

There being no one else wishing to come forth and speak at this time, Mayor Fowler then closed Open Forum.

## VII. Take any Action as a Result of Executive Session

Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt moved to authorize the sale of real property identified as Parcel 8 on John King Boulevard (SE corner of the road and the railroad tracks) owned by the City of Rockwall to TXDOT for the amount of $\mathbf{\$ 1 2 5 , 1 9 2}$ and a Possession Use Agreement in the amount of $\$ 12,519.20$ for the purpose of widening the roadway, authorizing the City Attorney to finalize all necessary documents to complete the transaction and authorizing the City Manager to execute all necessary agreements on behalf of the city. Councilmember Johannesen seconded the motion, which passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).

Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt moved to authorize the sale of real property identified as Parcel 11 on John King Boulevard (frontage road) owned by the City of Rockwall to TXDOT for the amount of $\$ 106,397$ and a Possession Use Agreement in the amount of $\$ 10,496.90$ for the purpose of widening the roadway, authorizing the City Attorney to finalize all necessary documents to complete the transaction and authorizing the City Manager to execute all necessary agreements on behalf of the City. Councilmember Macalik seconded the motion, which passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).

Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt moved to authorize the sale of real property identified as Parcel 20 on John King Boulevard (SE corner of JK and Airport Road) owned by the City of Rockwall to TXDOT for the amount of $\$ 4,610$ and a Possession Use Agreement in the amount of $\$ 3,000$ for the purposes of widening the roadway, authorizing the City Attorney to finalize all necessary documents to complete the transaction and authorizing the City Manager to execute all necessary agreements on behalf of the City. Councilmember Jorif seconded the motion, which passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).

## VIII. Consent Agenda

1. Consider approval of the minutes from the August 2, 2021 regular city council meeting, and take any action necessary.
2. Z2021-026 - Consider a request by Troy Lewis of Newstream Capital Partners for the approval of an ordinance for a Zoning Change amending Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) [Ordinance No. 92-39] for the purpose of allowing townhomes on a 6.88-acre tract of land identified as Lot 4, Block A, Spyglass Hill \#4 Addition and Tract 134-12 of the E. Teal Survey, Abstract No. 207, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) [Ordinance No. 92-39] for Zero Lot Line (ZL-5) District land uses, generally located south on the south side of Henry M. Chandler Drive west of Ridge Road [FM-740], and take any action necessary (2nd Reading).
3. P2021-037 - Consider a request by Isaac Peña for the approval of a Final Plat for Lots 1 \& 2, Block A, Isaac Addition being a 6.388-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, Rockwall County, Texas, situated within the City of Rockwall's Extraterritorial Jurisdiction (ETJ), addressed as 2185 S. FM-549, and take any action necessary.
4. P2021-040 - Consider a request by Jeremy Nelson of McAdams Co. on behalf of Spencer Byington of SWBC Rockwall, LP for the approval of a Final Plat for Lot 1, Block A, SWBC Rockwall Addition, Phase 2 being currently identified as a 21.275-acre tract of a larger 42.555-acre tract of land identified as Tract 1-4 of the J. M. Allen Survey, Abstract No. 2, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development 83 (PD-83) District, situated within the SH-205 By-Pass Overlay ( 205 BY-OV) District, located east of the intersection of Discovery Boulevard and John King Boulevard, and take any action necessary.
5. P2021-041 - Consider a request by Humberto Johnson, Jr. of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Ben Klutts, Jr. of the Klutts Farm, LLC for the approval of a Master Plat for the Homestead Subdivision being a 196.009-acre tract of land identified as Tract 6 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 92 (PD-92), generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of FM-549 and FM-1139, and take any action necessary.
6. P2021-044 - Consider a request by Kevin Harrell of the Skorburg Company on behalf of Ben Klutts, Jr. of the Klutts Farm, LLC for the approval of a Preliminary Plat for the Homestead Subdivision being a 196.009-acre tract of land identified as Tract 6 of the J. A. Ramsey Survey, Abstract No. 186, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 92 (PD-92), generally located at the northeast corner of the intersection of FM-549 and FM-1139, and take any action necessary.
7. Consider authorizing the City Manager to execute an Interlocal Cooperation Agreement with the Rockwall Independent School District (RISD) for School Resource Officer services for the upcoming school year, and take any action necessary.

Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt moved to approve the entire Consent Agenda (\#s 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, and 7). Councilmember Jorif seconded the motion. The ordinance caption was read as follows:

## CITY OF ROCKWALL ORDINANCE NO. 21-38


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 8 (PD-8) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO AMEND THE PLANNED DEVELOPMENT CONCEPT PLAN AND DEVELOPMENT STANDARDS APPROVED WITH ORDINANCE NO. 92-39, BEING A 6.88ACRE TRACT OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 4, BLOCK A, SPYGLASS HILL \#4 ADDITION AND TRACT 134-12 OF THE E. TEAL SURVEY ABSTRACT NO 207, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS AND MORE FULLY DESCRIBED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'A' AND DEPICTED HEREIN BY EXHIBIT 'B'; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).

## iX. Appointment Items

1. Appointment with Planning \& Zoning Commission representative to discuss and answer any questions regarding planning-related cases on the agenda.

Jerry Welch from the city's Planning \& Zoning Commission came forth and briefed the Council on recommendations of the Commission relative to planning-related items on this evening's meeting agenda. Council took no action as a result of Mr. Welch's briefing.
2. Appointment with Michael Mittman, Owner/Operator of DFWboatRide.com to hear update regarding the 'Harbor Lights' boat operations on Lake Ray Hubbard, and take any action necessary.

Mr. Mittman came forth and showed a presentation to Council regarding "Harbor Lights" boat operations on Lake Ray Hubbard. He provided positive comments concerning his partnership with the City and its Parks Department (Director, Travis Sales). He mentioned that over 15,000 people annually take trips on his boats, and they have achieved close to a " 5 star" rating online. A new boat ("Sapphire Moon") was recently added to his fleet, and it docks directly across from Harbor Lights at the lake. He mentioned that Harbor Lights was recently refurbished, and he spoke about the certifications of boat captains, staff, etc. as well as the insurance that his company carries for the boats. Following Mr. Mittman's presentation and positive comments, Councilmember Macalik indicated she believes Mr. Mittman has been doing a great job on the boats. Council took no action concerning Mr. Mittman's presentation.
3. Appointment with the City Attorney and staff to address questions raised by resident Bob Wacker during an appointment with the City Council on August 2, 2021, and take any action necessary.

City Attorney Frank Garza came forth and provided a lengthy presentation, taking remarks presented by citizen Bob Wacker at the August 2, 2021 city council meeting and addressing each of them, one-by-one. Overall, Mr. Garza indicated that nothing about points raised by Mr. Wacker would invalidate the city's 380 Agreement (entered into with a developer pertaining to the apartments that are slated to be built near downtown (across from the Police Station) from a legal standpoint. City Planning Director, Ryan Miller then came forth and addressed some of the 'technical' aspects of points raised by Mr. Wacker back on August $2^{\text {nd }}$. Mr. Miller generally covered the development application; conveyance of the lots; building setbacks; ingress/egress associated with the proposed development; building height (and how it is calculated); staff granted waivers to the 'block face;' vehicular and pedestrian traffic, the city's Unified Development Code (the city's Permitted Land Use Charts), fire safety, power lines, major vs. minor waivers, etc. Following Mr. Garza's and Mr. Miller's presentations, Councilman Jorif sought clarification - based on a thorough review of all contracts and associated documents associated with this topic, has anything been done wrong that would preclude this process from going forward? Mr. Garza acknowledged that there were some errors made (i.e. with the newspaper notice(s)); however, in his review he found nothing substantive that would be cause to invalidate this (the "380 Development") agreement. Jorif asked Mr. Miller for clarification - based on the Code and definition of the "downtown area," and based on Mr. Garza's comments, will the project stand alone on its own and go forward? Mr. Miller shared that - yes - it will because it meets all of the technical requirements. Councilmember Maclik asked for clarification on calculating height by taking the average of all of the stories of the building - is this a common practice? Mr. Miller shared that, yes - this is the method by which the building height is calculated on all buildings, and there is no discretion to vary from this method of calculating height.

Council took no action as a result of this appointment item.

## X. Public Hearing Items

1. Z2021-028 - Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider approval of an ordinance for a Text Amendment to Section 10, Fee Schedule, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] for the purpose of creating a Non-Compliant Structure application fee for Specific Use Permit (SUP) applications (1st Reading).

Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided background information pertaining to this agenda item. This ordinance is being brought before Council for consideration at the request of City Council based on past discussions in recent months. The city's Planning \& Zoning Commission has reviewed this proposed text amendment and has unanimously voted to recommend its approval by Council.

Mayor Fowler opened the public hearing, asking if anyone would like to come forth and speak. There being no one indicating such, he then closed the public hearing.

Following brief discussion, Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt moved to approve 22021-028. Councilmember Campbell seconded the motion. The ordinance was read as follows:

CITY OF ROCKWALL
ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, BY AMENDING SECTION 10, FEE SCHEDULE, OF ARTICLE 11, DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS AND REVIEW PROCEDURES, AS DEPCITED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).
2. Z2021-029 - Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of an ordinance for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1465 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 28, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 104 Reliance Court, and take any action necessary (1st Reading).

Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided background information concerning this agenda item. This proposed home does appear to be comparable to existing housing located elsewhere within the subdivision. The proposed garage will require a waiver of Council in order to be approved; however, this proposed garage is not uncharacteristic of other garages in the neighborhood. Notices were sent out to adjacent property and homeowners, as well as to the Homeowners' Associations (HOAs). The Planning \& Zoning Commission has recommended approval of this item.

Mayor Fowler opened the public hearing, asking if anyone would like to come forth and speak at this time. There being no one indicating such, he then closed the Public Heearing. Councilmember Johannesen moved to approve Z2021-029. Councilmember Jorif seconded the motion. The ordinance was read as follows:

CITY OF ROCKWALL
ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-2XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 8 (PD-8) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR RESIDENTIAL INFILL IN AN ESTABLISHED SUBDIVISION TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME ON A

# 0.1465-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 28, BLOCK A, CHANDLER'S LANDING, PHASE 20, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE. 

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).
3. Z2021-030 - Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Ed Cavendish of Cavendish Homes for the approval of an ordinance for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision for the purpose of constructing a single-family home on a 0.1637 -acre parcel of land identified as Lot 12, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 118 Mischief Lane, and take any action necessary (1st Reading).

Planning Director, Ryan Miller, provided background information concerning this agenda item. The applicant is requesting to construct a house within the Chandler's Landing subdivision. The proposed house does appear to be similar to other, existing housing within the neighborhood. The proposed garage will require a waiver approval; however, what is being proposed is not uncharacteristic of other houses within the neighborhood. Adjacent property owners and residents were notified, as well as the HOAs. In addition, the Planning \& Zoning Commission has recommended approval of this item.

Mayor Fowler opened the public hearing, asking if anyone would like to come forth and speak at this time. There being no one indicating such, he then closed the Public Hearing.

Councilmember Jorif moved to approve Z2021-030. Councilmember Macalik seconded the motion. The ordinance was read as follows:

CITY OF ROCKWALL
ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-2XX

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 8 (PD-8) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR RESIDENTIAL INFILL IN AN ESTABLISHED SUBDIVISION TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME ON A 0.1637-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 12, BLOCK A, CHANDLER'S LANDING, PHASE 20, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$2,000.00) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE;

## PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).
4. Z2021-031 - Hold a public hearing to discuss and consider a request by Tino and Judy Liscano on behalf of Jeremy Lance Epton for the approval of an ordinance for a Zoning Change from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a 4.95-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Epton Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, addressed as 2065 \& 2075 Airport Road, and take any action necessary (1st Reading).

Planning Director, Ryan Miller provided background information concerning this agenda item. The applicant is coming forth to request a rezoning of his property so that he may establish three, separate lots on this nearly 5 acre tract of land (one of which will include the existing, single-family home). Each of the lots would be a minimum of 1 -acres each. This proposal will conform to the city's land use requirements, including density requirements. Notices were sent out to adjacent land owners and residents, and the Rolling Meadows Homeowners Association was also notified. Staff received five notices in opposition of the proposed request as well as five e-mails expressing opposition (from individuals not located within the 500 ft . buffer surrounding the property). Because of the opposition, approval of this request will require a $3 / 4$ majority vote of Council (or 5 out of the 6 city council members present this evening). The Planning \& Zoning Commission did review this request and has recommended its approval by a unanimous vote.

Mayor Fowler then opened the public hearing, asking if anyone would like to come forth and speak at this time.

Mr. Jeremy Epton
2075 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Epton briefly came forth and indicated he would like to address the Council after others in the audience speak first.

Barbara Schueler<br>2070 Airport Road<br>Rockwall, TX

Mrs. Schueler came forth and expressed that she is opposed to this request because she believes that the SF-1 zoning would pose the possibility of too high a density on this property. She indicated that she has sent multiple e-mails to the Council expressing her opposition. She wants the Council to table this item and resubmit it to the Planning \& Zoning Commission for reconsideration. She does not believe that the P\&Z Commission gave it full consideration (as, she expressed, their recent meeting, overall, was only about 30 minutes long). She thinks this should be, at a minimum, "SF 1.5 zoning" instead of "SF-1" zoning. She desires to have the visual aspects of this property preserved, and she has concerns about the waterways on the property being home to many species of migrant
birds. She is generally in opposition of this request, and - again - she urged the Council to table this and kick it back to the city's P\&Z Commission for further consideration.

Patty Griffin
2140 and 2150 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX

Mrs. Griffin explained that she is perhaps the 'newcomer' to this area, and she came in the year 1993. So, we have all lived in this area for a long time. This area has not changed a lot or experienced rapid growth. She acknowledged that the applicant has a right to sell his property, but he does not have a right to change the neighborhood or the neighbors' 'way of life.' She asked the Council to consider making this property less dense. Migrant birds and potential protected species may need to be evaluated concerning this property. She spoke briefly about the past, city water shortage due to a drought, and she encouraged the Council to be careful with the city's growth. She wants the zoning to be SF 1.5 instead of SF 1.0. She is in opposition of this proposal "as is."

## Mike Puckett

2160 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Puckett came forth and shared that he's known Mr. Epton for many years, and he finds him to be an upstanding citizen. He shared that he moved here 21 years ago when a gentleman at that time subdivided his property into five, separate ones, and he personally purchased one of those five lots. He believes that Mr. Epton's zoning request is in line with the surrounding area, he is in favor of this request, and he urged the Council to vote "yes" regarding this request.

Robert McAlarin (note: unsure of spelling)
556 Stodgehill Road
Rockwall, TX

Mr. McAlarin shared that he has known the applicant for about 4 years. He believes that this request would result a notable improvement for this area if it is approved. He believes that the new homes that will be built will beautify the area. He and his son-in-law live on seven acres that is located directly behind this (Jeremy's) property. He shared that his son-in-law is also in favor of this rezoning request. He generally spoke in favor of the Council approving the applicant's request to rezone his property.

Mr. Jeremy Epton
2075 Airport Road
Rockwall, TX
Mr. Epton (the applicant) came forth and shared that he would like to rezone this property in order to allow for three (total) lots to be formed and two additional single-family homes to be constructed on this property. He wants to sell the two, additional lots to the Liscano and the O'Conner families so that they may build their dream homes, each on one acre
tracts. This would leave his own, personal existing home on the other 3 acres. Mr. Epton pointed out that he is not selling his land to a developer - rather, he will be selling to two, individual families. He does not believe there will be any concerns with noise, pollution lighting, traffic, congestion, etc. He generally urged the City Council to approve his request for rezoning this evening.

Judy Liscano
268 Cedar
Fate, TX
(also listed 2316 Anita Mesquite, TX 75149)

Mrs. Liscano came forth and indicated that she and her family would like to purchase one of the lots that Mr. Epton would like to sell. She and her husband have been married for twenty years, and they have rented homes for a number of years while saving money to purchase land and build. She spoke about her children and the various activities they are each involved in. She generally spoke in favor of the Council approving Mr. Epton's request to rezone this property.

There being no one else wishing to come forth and speak at this time, Mayor Fowler closed the public hearing.

Councilmember Jorif moved to approve Z2021-031. Councilmember Campbell seconded the motion. Councilman Johannesen provided brief comments, generally fousing on "property rights" and pointing out that this request is in conformance with all city requirements. He generally indicated that the property owner has a right to do with his land what he would like to do, especially considering that this meets the city's requirements and will be considered 'low density.' Mayor Fowler pointed out that he personally does not believe that this rezoning will result in adverse impacts to the existing area. Following the brief comments, the ordinance caption was read as follows:

## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-XX
AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A SINGLE-FAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT FOR A 4.95ACRE PARCEL OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK A, EPTON ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBITS 'A' \& ‘B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.

The motion passed by a vote of 6 ayes with 1 absence (Daniels).
XI. Executive Session.

The City of Rockwall City Council will Recess into Executive Session to discuss the following matter as authorized by Chapter 551 of the Texas Government Code:

1. Discussion regarding possible sale/purchase/lease of real property in the vicinity of Airport Road \& John King Blvd pursuant to Section $\S 551.072$ (Real Property) and Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
2. Discussion regarding possible development at Rockwall Airport pursuant to Section $\S 551.072$ (Real Property) and Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
3. Discussion regarding possible sale/purchase/lease of real property in the vicinity of Rockwall Technology Park pursuant to Section $\S 551.072$ (Real Property) and Section $\S 551.071$ (Consultation with Attorney).
XII. Reconvene Public Meeting \& Take Any Action as Result of Executive Session

Council did not reconvene in Executive Session following the close of the public meeting agenda. See action taken near the start of the 6:00 p.m. public meeting above for action taken as a result of the first Executive Session.
XIII. Adjournment

Mayor Fowler adjourned the meeting at 7:52 p.m.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, ON THIS $\underline{7}^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021.

KEVIN FOWLER, MAYOR

## ATTEST:

## KRISTY COLE, CITY SECRETARY

## MINUTES - Budget Work Session

ROCKWALL CITY COUNCIL SPECIAL MEETING<br>TUESDAY, AUGUST 24, 2021 - 5:00 PM<br>Rockwall City Hall, City Council Chambers<br>385 S. Goliad St. Rockwall, TX 75087

## I. Call Public Meeting to Order

Mayor Fowler called the public meeting to order at 5:01 p.m. Present were Mayor Kevin Fowler, Mayor Pro Tem John Hohenshelt, and Council Members Clarence Jorif, Dana Macalik, Trace Johannesen, Bennie Daniels, and Anna Campbell. Also present were City Manager Mary Smith and Assistant City Manager Joey Boyd.

## II. Open Forum / Public Comments

Mayor Fowler explained how he would like to conduct Open Forum this evening to hear public comments. He explained that he and the other city council members have all received many, many emails over the course of the last week pertaining to Suntex Marinas (currently manages Chandler's Landing Marina) and the changes they are proposing to make at the docks/slips. The City is aware of the changes, and that topic will be heard at the next, regular city council meeting. That meeting will be the more appropriate time to voice concerns about that topic. Whereas, this evening's meeting has been called as a work session to review and evaluate the proposed budget for the upcoming fiscal year. He generally urged those who speak to be respectful of the council's time in this regard and to consider coming to the next council meeting on September 7 (Tues.) to be heard regarding the marina. He then called for Chip Imrie to briefly speak during Open Forum.

Chip Imrie
Vice President of Chandler's Landing HOA
323 Harbor Landing Drive
Rockwall, TX

Mr. Imrie came forth and shared comments related to the Chandler's Landing Marina and the changes that Suntex Marina is proposing to make to the facilities. He generally expressed opposition to the proposed changes, indicating that he and others have a lot of concerns.

## Roy Kuipers

333 Yacht Club Drive
Rockwall, TX 75032

Mr. Kuipers came forth and provided a PowerPoint presentation to Council, speaking about the proposed changes to the Chandler's Landing Marina. He has great concerns about the proposed changes, and he is opposed to them. He generally urged the Council to help curtail these proposed changes.

Doyle Sherman
702 Laurence Drive
Rockwall, TX

Mr. Sherman indicated he is a resident of Heath; however, he has utilized a boat slip at the Chandler's Marina for many, many years. He was recently informed that they plan to do away with his existing slip, and he has great concerns about the proposed changes at the marina.

Bob Wacker
309 Featherstone Drive
Rockwall, TX

Mr. Wacker came forth and shared that he would like to see more graphical representations within the proposed budget in order to better show trends and changes over time. Mr. Wacker encouraged the Council to consider not continuing to lower taxes. He would like Council to consider taking advantage of the current prosperity and consider doing some things that might otherwise require issuance of bonds (for example).

There being no one else wishing to come forth and speak, Mayor Fowler closed Open Forum.

## III. Hold Work Session to Discuss and Consider the Proposed Fiscal Year 2022 City of

## Rockwall Municipal Budget

Mayor Fowler called upon City Manager, Mary Smith, who began discussions regarding the proposed budget. A proposed tax rate of .35 cents is being proposed, which is a decrease from .37 cents. No tax increase is being proposed, so multiple public hearings on the tax rate will not be needed. She pointed out that this year the City received about $\mathbf{\$} \mathbf{2 . 5}$ million on CARES Act grant funding, revenue that is not expected to recur next budget year. Mrs. Smith then went on to briefly cover the high points of the proposed budget (i.e. General Fund Budget, Water/Sewer Fund, Special Revenue Fund, Recreation Development Fund, Debt Service Fund, Street Improvement Fund, Fire Equipment Fund, Airport Special Revenue Fund, Downtown Fund, and Police Seizures Fund, and the fund that covers employee benefits, including Workers Comp).

It was noted that Mayor Pro Tem Hohenshelt (temporarily) left the meeting at 5:49 p.m. He rejoined the meeting at 6:14 p.m.

General discussion took place between Council and staff regarding aspects of the budget proposal overall. Council members generally indicated that they are satisfied with the budget proposal that the city manager has set forth, and they do not anticipate any changes being brought forth. Indication was given that a public hearing will be held related to the budget on Tuesday, September 7, and the tax rate and budget will be formally adopted at the Monday, September $\mathbf{2 0}$ council meeting.

## IV. Adjournment

Mayor Fowler adjourned the meeting at 6:26 p.m.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, ON THIS $\underline{7}^{\text {th }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER 2021.

KEVIN FOWLER, MAYOR ATTEST:

KRISTY COLE, CITY SECRETARY

ORDINANCE NO. 21-39


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, BY AMENDING SECTION 10, FEE SCHEDULE, OF ARTICLE 11, DEVELOPMENT APPLICATIONS AND REVIEW PROCEDURES, AS DEPCITED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS ( $\$ 2,000.00$ ) FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, an amendment to the City of Rockwall's Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] has been initiated by the City Council of the City of Rockwall to amend Section 10, Fee Schedule, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02]; and,

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the city's corporate boundaries, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

## NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That Section 10, Fee Schedule, of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended as specifically described in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance;

SECTION 2. That any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offense and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense;

SECTION 3. That if any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 04-38], and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable;

SECTION 4. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $7^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021.

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: $\quad$ August 16, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: September 7, 2021

Exhibit 'A'
Section 10, Fee Schedule, of
Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC)

Additions: Highlighted
Deletions: Highlighted, Strikeout
(A) Establishment of Fees. Fees for all development related applications in the City of Rockwall shall be established by the City Council upon recommendation of the City Council. Such fees shall be for the sole purpose of recovering the administrative cost of processing development applications, advertising zoning requests, and holding public hearings required by this Article. Such fee shall not be designed to generate revenue for the City other than recovery of actual administrative costs.
(B) Fees. The fees for development related applications in the City of Rockwall shall be as follows:
(1) PLATTING

| (a) Master Plat | $\$ 100.00+$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (b) Preliminary Plat | $\$ 15.00 /$ Acre |
|  | $\$ 200.00+$ |
| (c) Final Plat | $\$ 15.00 /$ Acre |
|  | $\$ 300.00+$ |
| (d) Replat | $\$ 20.00 /$ Acre |
| (e) Amending or Minor Plat | $\$ 300.00+$ |
| (f) Plat Reinstatement Request | $\$ 20.00 /$ Acre |

(2) SITE PLAN
(a) Site Plan
$\$ 250.00+$
(b) Amended Site Plan
\$20.00/Acre
$\$ 100.00$
(3) ZONING

| (a) Zoning Change | $\$ 200.00+$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (b) Specific Use Permit (SUP)* | $\$ 15.00 /$ Acre |
|  | $\$ 200.00+$ |
| (c) Planned Development (PD) | $\$ 15.00 /$ Acre |
| $200.00+$ |  |
| $15.00 /$ Acre |  |

(4) MISCELLANEOUS

| (a) Variance/Special Exception* | $\$ 100.00$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| (b) Tree Removal | $\$ 75.00$ |
| (c) Other Miscellaneous Requests | $\$ 0.00$ |

(5) HISTORIC PRESERVATION ADVISORY BOARD
(a) Certificate of Appropriateness (COA) $\$ 0.00$
(b) Small Matching Grants $\$ 0.00$
(c) Building Permit Fee Waiver $\$ 0.00$

NOTES:
*: See Section (D) below.
(C) Calculation of Fees. Fees that have a scaled fee structure (i.e. that are based on the acreage of the property) are calculated by multiplying the acreage of the property by the scalable fee and adding the base fee. For example, a 5.25-acre property that is requesting a zoning change would be calculated as follows:

### 5.25-acres x \$15.00/Acres $=\$ 78.75+\$ 200.00$ [Base Fee] $=\$ 278.75$ [Fee Due]

Properties that are less than one (1) acre in total size shall be calculated as one (1) acre. No other rounding methods shall be used in the calculation of a fee.

Section 10, Fee Schedule, of
(D) Non-Compliant Structure Fees. Fees associated with requests for a Specific Use Permit (SUP) or a Variance/Special Exception that deal with structures that were [1] constructed without a building permit, or [2] that were not constructed in conformance with an approved building permit shall be increased by $\$ 1,000.00$. For example, a 5.25 -acre property that is requesting approval under this section would be calculated as follows:

```
5.25-acres x $15.00/Acres = $78.75 + $200.00 [Base Fee] = $278.75 [Typical Application Fee] +
$1,000.00 [Forgiveness Fee] = $1,278.75 [Fee Due]
```


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-40
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-254


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 8 (PD-8) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR RESIDENTIAL INFILL IN AN ESTABLISHED SUBDIVISION TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME ON A 0.1465-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 28, BLOCK A, CHANDLER'S LANDING, PHASE 20, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Ed Cavendish for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision to allow the construction of a single-family home on a 0.1465 -acre parcel of land being described as Lot 28 , Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 104 Reliance Court, and being more specifically described and depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision to allow for the construction of a single-family home in an established subdivision in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 03.01, General Residential District Standards, and Subsection 03.07, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code
(UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and to the requirements set forth in Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and with the following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property and conformance to these operational conditions are required:

1) The development of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Residential Plot Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
2) The construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
3) Once construction of the single-family home has been completed, inspected, and accepted by the City of Rockwall, this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall expire, and no further action by the property owner shall be required.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP) of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require the Subject Property to comply with the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the contractor operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $\underline{7}^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021.

Kevin Fowler, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 16, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: September 7, 2021

Exhibit 'A'
Location Map and Survey

## Address: 104 Reliance Court

Legal Description: Lot 28, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20


Exhibit ' B ':
Residential Plot Plan


Exhibit ' C ':
Building Elevations


Exhibit ' $C$ ':
Building Elevations


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-41
SPECIFIC USE PERMIT NO. S-255


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING PLANNED DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT 8 (PD-8) AND THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE (UDC) [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AS PREVIOUSLY AMENDED, SO AS TO GRANT A SPECIFIC USE PERMIT (SUP) FOR RESIDENTIAL INFILL IN AN ESTABLISHED SUBDIVISION TO ALLOW THE CONSTRUCTION OF A SINGLE-FAMILY HOME ON A 0.1637-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND, IDENTIFIED AS LOT 12, BLOCK A, CHANDLER'S LANDING, PHASE 20, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS; AND MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBIT 'A' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Ed Cavendish for the approval of a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision to allow the construction of a single-family home on a 0.1637-acre parcel of land being described as Lot 12, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) for single-family residential land uses, addressed as 118 Mischief Lane, and being more specifically described and depicted in Exhibit ' $A$ ' of this ordinance, which herein after shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall, in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall, have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally, and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area and in the vicinity thereof, the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion has concluded that the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) of the City of Rockwall should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED by the City Council of the City of Rockwall, Texas;
SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) of the City of Rockwall, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended so as to grant a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for Residential Infill in an Established Subdivision to allow for the construction of a single-family home in an established subdivision in accordance with Article 04, Permissible Uses, the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] on the Subject Property; and,

SECTION 2. That the Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall be subject to the requirements set forth in Subsection 03.01, General Residential District Standards, and Subsection 03.07, Single-Family 7 (SF-7) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code
(UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02] and to the requirements set forth in Planned Development District 8 (PD-8) -- as heretofore amended and may be amended in the future -- and with the following conditions:

### 2.1 OPERATIONAL CONDITIONS

The following conditions pertain to the construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property and conformance to these operational conditions are required:

1) The development of the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Residential Plot Plan as depicted in Exhibit ' $B$ ' of this ordinance.
2) The construction of a single-family home on the Subject Property shall generally conform to the Building Elevations depicted in Exhibit ' $C$ ' of this ordinance.
3) Once construction of the single-family home has been completed, inspected, and accepted by the City of Rockwall, this Specific Use Permit (SUP) shall expire, and no further action by the property owner shall be required.

### 2.2 COMPLIANCE

Approval of this ordinance in accordance with Subsection 02.02, Specific Use Permits (SUP) of Article 11, Development Applications and Review Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) will require the Subject Property to comply with the following:

1) Upon obtaining a Building Permit, should the contractor operating under the guidelines of this ordinance fail to meet the minimum operational requirements set forth herein and outlined in the Unified Development Code (UDC), the City may (after proper notice) initiate proceedings to revoke the Specific Use Permit (SUP) in accordance with Subsection 02.02(F), Revocation, of Article 11, Development Applications and Revision Procedures, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) [Ordinance No. 20-02].

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be, and the same are hereby repealed to the extent of that conflict.

SECTION 5. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 6. If any section or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section or provision to any person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section or provision to any other person, firm, corporation, situation or circumstance, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.

PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $\underline{7}^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021.

Kevin Fowler, Mayor

## ATTEST:

Kristy Cole, City Secretary

APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 16, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: September 7, 2021

Exhibit 'A'

## Address: 118 Mischief Lane

Legal Description: Lot 12, Block A, Chandler's Landing, Phase 20


Exhibit ' B ':
Residential Plot Plan


Exhibit ' C ':
Building Elevations

$\frac{\text { REAR ELEVATION }}{\text { SCIE } 1 / 4+5 T^{-0^{*}}}$



Exhibit ' C ':
Building Elevations


FRONT ELEVATION
Scale $1 / \omega^{\circ+1 /-0^{\circ}}$


RIGHT ELEVATION


## CITY OF ROCKWALL

ORDINANCE NO. 21-42


#### Abstract

AN ORDINANCE OF THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, AMENDING THE UNIFIED DEVELOPMENT CODE [ORDINANCE NO. 20-02] OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, AS HERETOFORE AMENDED, SO AS TO APPROVE A CHANGE IN ZONING FROM AN AGRICULTURAL (AG) DISTRICT TO A SINGLEFAMILY 1 (SF-1) DISTRICT FOR A 4.95-ACRE PARCEL OF LAND IDENTIFIED AS LOT 1, BLOCK A, EPTON ADDITION, CITY OF ROCKWALL, ROCKWALL COUNTY, TEXAS, AND BEING MORE SPECIFICALLY DESCRIBED AND DEPICTED IN EXHIBITS 'A' \& 'B' OF THIS ORDINANCE; PROVIDING FOR SPECIAL CONDITIONS; PROVIDING FOR A PENALTY OF FINE NOT TO EXCEED THE SUM OF TWO THOUSAND DOLLARS $(\$ 2,000.00)$ FOR EACH OFFENSE; PROVIDING FOR A SEVERABILITY CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR A REPEALER CLAUSE; PROVIDING FOR AN EFFECTIVE DATE.


WHEREAS, the City has received a request from Tino and Judy Liscano on behalf of the owner Jeremy Lance Epton for a change in zoning from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District for a 4.95-acre parcel of land identified as Lot 1, Block A, Epton Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Agricultural (AG) District, and more fully described and depicted in Exhibits ' $A$ ' \& ' $B$ ' of this ordinance, which hereinafter shall be referred to as the Subject Property and incorporated by reference herein; and

WHEREAS, the Planning and Zoning Commission of the City of Rockwall and the governing body of the City of Rockwall in compliance with the laws of the State of Texas and the ordinances of the City of Rockwall have given the requisite notices by publication and otherwise, and have held public hearings and afforded a full and fair hearing to all property owners generally and to all persons interested in and situated in the affected area, and in the vicinity thereof, and the governing body in the exercise of its legislative discretion, has concluded that the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] should be amended as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT ORDAINED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS:

SECTION 1. That the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall, Texas, as heretofore amended, be and the same is hereby amended by amending the zoning map of the City of Rockwall so as to change the zoning of the Subject Property from an Agricultural (AG) District to a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District.

SECTION 2. That the Subject Property shall be used only in the manner and for the purposes provided for a Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District as stipulated in Subsection 01.01, Use of Land and Buildings, of Article 04, Permissible Uses, and Subsection 03.05, Single-Family 1 (SF-1) District, of Article 05, District Development Standards, of the Unified Development Code [Ordinance No. 20-02] of the City of Rockwall as heretofore amended, as amended herein by granting of this zoning change, and as maybe amended in the future.

SECTION 3. That the official zoning map of the City be corrected to reflect the changes in the zoning described herein.

SECTION 4. Any person, firm, or corporation violating any of the provisions of this ordinance shall be deemed guilty of a misdemeanor and upon conviction shall be punished by a penalty of fine not to exceed the sum of Two Thousand Dollars (\$2,000.00) for each offence and each and every day such offense shall continue shall be deemed to constitute a separate offense.

SECTION 5. If any section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of that section, paragraph, or provision to any person, firm, corporation or situation is for any reason judged invalid, the adjudication shall not affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of this ordinance or the application of any other section, paragraph or provision to any other person, firm, corporation or situation, nor shall adjudication affect any other section, paragraph, or provision of the Unified Development Code of the City of Rockwall, Texas, and the City Council declares that it would have adopted the valid portions and applications of the ordinance without the invalid parts and to this end the provisions for this ordinance are declared to be severable.

SECTION 6. That all ordinances of the City of Rockwall in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance be and the same are hereby repealed, and all other ordinances of the City of Rockwall not in conflict with the provisions of this ordinance shall remain in full force and effect.

SECTION 7. That this ordinance shall take effect immediately from and after its passage.
PASSED AND APPROVED BY THE CITY COUNCIL OF THE CITY OF ROCKWALL, TEXAS, THIS THE $\underline{7}^{\text {TH }}$ DAY OF SEPTEMBER, 2021.

Kevin Fowler, Mayor

## ATTEST:

## Kristy Cole, City Secretary

## APPROVED AS TO FORM:

Frank J. Garza, City Attorney
$1^{\text {st }}$ Reading: August 16, 2021
$2^{\text {nd }}$ Reading: September 7, 2021

Exhibit 'A'

## Location Map \& Legal Description

Legal Description: A 4.95-Acre Parcel of Land Identified as Lot 1, Block A, Epton Addition Addressed As: 2065 \& 2075 Airport Road


## Exhibit 'B'

Final Plat


## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Mary Smith, City Manager |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC: | Honorable Mayor and City Council |
| FROM: | Ryan Miller, Director of Planning and Zoning |
| DATE: | September 7, 2021 |
| SUBJECT: | P2021-045; REPLAT FOR LOT 8, BLOCK 1, MEADOWCREEK BUSINESS |

## Attachments

Case Memo
Development Application
Location Map
Replat
Summary/Background Information
Consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240-acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

Action Needed
The City Council is being asked to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the proposed replat.

TO:
DATE:
APPLICANT:
CASE NUMBER:

Mayor and City Council
September 7, 2021
David Bond
P2021-045; Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase II Addition

## SUMMARY

Discuss and consider a request by David Bond of Spiars Engineering on Andrew Melzer of Gingercrest Inc. for the approval of a Replat for Lot 8 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 being a 8.240 -acre tract of land identified as Lots 6 \& 7 , Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Commercial (C) District, situated within the SH-205 Overlay (SH-205 OV) District and the SH-276 Overlay (SH-276 OV) District, addressed as 2301 S. Goliad Street, and take any action necessary.

## PLAT INFORMATION

$\square$ The applicant is requesting the approval of a replat combining two (2) parcels of land (i.e. Lots 6 \& 7, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition) into one (1) parcel of land (i.e., Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase 2 Addition).

च On May 11, 2010, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a site plan [Case No. SP2010-006] for a retail store with gasoline sales at 2301 S. Goliad Street. This facility (i.e. RaceTrac) was constructed in 2010-2011 and has been in operation since late 2011. On January 12, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved an amended site plan [Case No. SP2020-033] allowing the construction of an additional gas canopy, separate from the existing general retail store with gasoline sales. On June 29, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a subsequent amended site plan [Case No. SP2021-019] reducing the size of the canopy's square footage by 580 SF (i.e. total 2,040 SF) and reducing the number of fuel dispensers from five (5) to four (4).

च The surveyor has completed the majority of the technical revisions requested by staff, and this plat -- conforming to the requirements for plats as stipulated by the Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances -- is recommended for conditional approval pending the completion of final technical modifications and submittal requirements.

च Conditional approval of this plat by the City Council shall constitute approval subject to the conditions stipulated in the Conditions of Approval section below.
$\square$ With the exception of the items listed in the Conditions of Approval section of this case memo, this plat is in substantial compliance with the requirements of Chapter 38, Subdivisions, of the Municipal Code of Ordinances.

## CONDITIONS OF APPROVAL

If the City Council chooses to approve of the replat for Lot 8, Block 1, Meadowcreek Business Center, Phase II Addition, staff would propose the following conditions of approval:
(1) All technical comments from the Engineering, Planning and Fire Departments shall be addressed prior to the filing of this plat; and,
(2) Any construction resulting from the approval of this plat shall conform to the requirements set forth by the Unified Development Code (UDC), the International Building Code (IBC), the Rockwall Municipal Code of Ordinances, city adopted engineering and fire codes and with all other applicable regulatory requirements administered and/or enforced by the state and federal government.

## PLANNING AND ZONING COMMISSION

On August 31, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission approved a motion to recommend approval of the Replat with the conditions of approval by a vote of 6-0, with Commissioner Womble absent.

# DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION 

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE. PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE. SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

Please check the appropriate box below to indicate the type of development request [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

Platting Application Fees:
[ ] Master Plat $\left(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Preliminary Plat ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
(V) Final Plat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$

〔 \} Replat $\left(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00\right.$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amending or Minor Plat (\$150.00)
[ ] Plat Reinstatement Request ( $\$ 100.00$ )
Site Plan Application Fees:
[ ] Site Plan ( $\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Amended Site Plan/Elevations/Landscaping Plan (\$100.00)

Zoning Application Fees:
[ ] Zoning Change ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] Specific Use Permit ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
[ ] PD Development Plans ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ Acre) ${ }^{1}$
Other Application Fees:
[ ] Tree Removal (\$75.00)
[ ] Variance Request (\$100.00)
Notes:
${ }^{1}$ : In determining the fee, please use the exact acreage when multiplying by the per acre amount. For requests on less than one acre, round up to one (1) acre.

## PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

## Address

$$
2301 \text { Goliad st. }
$$

Subdivision Meadow creek Business Center Phase 2 Lot 6 \&. 7 Block 1 General location SE Corner of St. Hwy 205 \& St. Hwy 276 ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

[ ] SITE PLANS AND PLATS: By checking this box you acknowledge that due to the passage of HB3167 the City no longer has flexibility with regard to its approval process, and failure to address any of staff's comments by the date provided on the Development Calendar will result in the denial of your case.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK THE PRIMARY CONTACt/ORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]


NOTARY VERIFICATION [REquired]
Before me, the undersigned authority, on this day personally appeared $\qquad$ [Owner) the undersigned, who stated the information on this application to be true and certified the following: "I hereby certify that I am the owner for the purpose of this application; all information submitted herein is true and correct; and the application fee of $\$ 464.80$, to
cover the cost of this application, has been paid to the City of Rockwall on this the 3 day of December 2020 , By signing this application, I agree
$\qquad$ day of December $\qquad$ - 202 2 fo By signing this application, I agree

 information."

Given under my hand and seal of office on this the



City of Rockwall

The City of Rockwall GIS maps are continually under development and therefore subject to change without notice. While we endeavor to provide timely and accurate information, we make no guarantees. The City of Rockwall makes no warranty, express or implied, including warranties of merchantability and fitness for a particular purpose. Use of the information is the sole responsibility of the user.

Note: Property Owner shall be responsible for maintenance, repair, and replacement


STATE OF TEXAS \&
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL \&
WHEREAS, GINGERCREST, INC., a Georgia corporation, is the owner of a tract of land situated in the Joseph Cadle Survey, Abstrer Phose 2, an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, according to the replat recorded in Cabinet H, Slides 109, 110 and 111 of the Plat Records of Rockwall County, Texas, being a replat of Lots 4 and 5 , Block 1 of
Meadowweek Business Center Phase 2, and being the samel tract conveyed to Gingercest, Inc., cordeorgia corporation, by
Deed without Warranty recorded in Instrument No. 2015000000065 of the Official Public Records of Rockwall County, Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 2 , and being the same tract conveyed
Deed with Worranty recorded in Instrument No. 201500000006 of
Texas, with the subject troct being more particularly described os follows:

BEGINNING at a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the east corner of a Lot 3, Block
1 of Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 3 , an addition to the City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, according to the plat thereof recorded in Cabinet $G$, Page 373 of the Plat Records of Rockwall' County, Texas, and lying in the northwest

THENCE, $N 31^{\circ} 14^{\prime} 26^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 229.88$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod found lying in the northeast line of said Lot 3 , Block THENCE, N $25^{2} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime}$ W, 38.13 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow, plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the north
corner of said Lot 3, Block A of Meadowreek Business Center Phase 3; THENCE, $\mathrm{S} 60^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 15^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 220.73$ feet, to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set lying in the northeast right-of-way line of State Highway 205 (variable width right-of-way);
THENCE, $N 25^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 18^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 371.37$ feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the
south corner of a corner clip ot the intersection of scid northeast right-of-way line of State Highway 205 and the south south corner of a corner clip ot the intersection of said northeast riat
right-of-way line of State Highway 276 (variable width right-of-way);

THENCE, N $34^{\circ} 0^{\prime} 24^{\prime \prime}$ E, 74.99 feet to a $1 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set ot the north
corner of said corner clip and lying in said south right-of-way line of State Hlighway 276; corner of soid corner clip and lying in said south right-of-way line of State Highway 276;
THENCE, $S 87^{4} 45^{\prime} 01$ " E, $1,268.68$ feet to $01 / 2$ inch iron rod with yellow plastic cap stamped "SPIARSENG" set at the
horthwest corner of Lot 1, Block 1 of said Meadowcreek Business Center Phase 1 ; THENCE, $\mathrm{S} 60^{\circ}{ }^{\circ} 18^{\prime} 16^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 947.76$ feet to the POINT OF BEGINNING with the subject tract containing 358,937 square feet or
8.240 acres of land. 8.240 acres of land

STATE OF TEXAS \&
COUNTY OF ROCKWALL \&

## now, therefore, know all men by these presents:

That GINGERCREST INC., acting herein by and through its duly outhorized officers, does hereby adopt this plot designating the
herein above described property as MEADOWCREEK BUSINESS CENTER PHASE 2 , LOT 8, BLOCK 1 , an addition to the City of Rockwall, Texas, and does hereby dedicate to the public use forever, the streets, alleys and easements shown thereon a created hereby. The streets and alleys are dedicated for street purposes. The easements and public use areas, as show
and created hereby, are dedicated, for the public use forever, for the purposes indicated on this plat. No buildings, fencees, trees, shrubs or other improvements or growths shall be constructed or placed upon, over or across. the easements create
by this plat as shown, except that landscape improvements may be placed in landscape easements if aporoved by the city by this plat as shown, except that landscape improvements may be placed in landscape easements, if approved by the City
of Rockwal: In oddition, utility easements created hereby may also be used for the mulual use and accommodation of a
of puld
putic utilities desiring to use or using the same unless the easement limits the use to particulor unilities, said use be bub public
utilities being subordinate to the public's and City of Rockwall's use thereof. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities utilities being subordinate to the public's and City of Rockwal's use thereof. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities
shall have the right to remove and keep removed all or parts of any buildings, fences, trees, shrubs or other improvements shal
or growths which may in any way endanger or interfere paith the construction, maines, trances, shrubs or efficiency of of their revespective systems in said easements created hereby. The City of Rockwall and public utility entities shall at all times have the ful
right of ingress and egress to or from their respective easements created hereby for the purpose of constructing reconstructing, inspecting, patrolling, maintaining, reading meters, and adding to or removing all or parts of their respective
systems without the necessity ot any systems without the time procuig permission from anyone.
witness my hand at $\qquad$ Georgia, this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021

GINGERCREST INC.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { By: } \frac{\text { E. McBrayer, Jr. }}{\text { Max }} \text { Chief Executive Officer }
\end{aligned}
$$

STATE OF GEORGIA §
COUNTY OF COBb §
BEFORE ME, the undersigned outhority, a Notary Public in and for The State of Georgia, on this day personally appeared
 stoted.
GIVEN UNDER MY HAND AND SEAL OF OFFICE this the $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021

Notary Public, State of Georgia

RECOMMENDED FOR FINAL APPROVAL

Planning and Zoning Commissio
Date
APPROVED
 This approval shall be invalid unlesss the approved plat for such addition is recorded in the office of the County Clerk of Rockwall County, Texas, within one hundred eighty (180) days from soid date of this inal approva.
witness our hands, this __ day of $\qquad$ 20

Mayor, City of Rockwall
City Secretary
$\overline{\text { City Engineer }}$

## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Mary Smith, City Manager |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC: | Honorable Mayor and City Council |
| FROM: | Ryan Miller, Director of Planning and Zoning |
| DATE: | September 7, 2021 |
|  | MIS2021-011; ALTERNATIVE TREE MITIGATION SETTLEMENT |
| SUBJECT: | AGREEMENT FOR THE REDC |

## Attachments

Memorandum
Development Application
Location Map
Applicant's Letter
Treescape Plan
Summary/Background Information
Discuss and consider a request by Matt Waivering of the Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC) for the approval of an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for a 137.448-acre tract of land identified as Tracts 5 \& 6 of the J. H. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125, City of Rockwall, Rockwall County, Texas, zoned Light Industrial (LI) District, situated within the SH-276 (SH-276 OV) District, generally located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Springer Road and Rochelle Road, and take any action necessary.

## Action Needed

The City Council is being asked to approve, approve with conditions, or deny the proposed Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement.

TO:
CC:

FROM:
DATE:
SUBJECT:

Mayor and City Council
Mary Smith, City Manager
Joey Boyd, Assistant City Manager
Ryan Miller, Director of Planning and Zoning
September 7, 2021
MIS2021-011; Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement for the REDC

The applicant, Matt Wavering of Rockwall Economic Development Corporation (REDC), is requesting the approval of an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement. The subject property is located on a 137.448-acre tract of land (Tracts 5 \& 6 of the J. H. B. Jones Survey, Abstract No. 125) generally located at the northwest corner of the intersection of Springer Road and Rochelle Road. The proposed Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement indicates that: [1] 2,198.50 caliper inches of trees will be removed from the site, [2] 80-inches of trees will be planted in the future right-of-way of Discovery Boulevard, and [3] the franchise utilities will be relocated undergrounded in lieu of paying a $\$ 211,750.00$ tree mitigation fee.

Based on the submitted Treescape Plan the total tree mitigation balance is $2,117.50$ caliper inches. If the applicant applied for the maximum tree preservation credit this would equate to them providing $1,677.80$ inches of tree and a payment of $\$ 43,970.00$ (i.e. $2,117.5$-inches $\times 20 \%=439.70 \times \$ 100.00 /$ Caliper Inch $=\$ 43,970.00$ ). In lieu of this method of mitigation the applicant has calculated the total cash value of the 2,117.50 caliper inches of tree to be $\$ 211,750.00$ (i.e. $\$ 100.00 \times 2,117.50$ $=\$ 211,750.00$ ). In addition, the applicant estimated the cost of undergrounding the existing utilities in accordance with the City's ordinances at $\$ 570,475.00$. Based on this, the applicant is requesting to utilize the total cash value of the tree mitigation towards the undergrounding cost of the utilities. In this case the applicant is proposing to do this through an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement. According to Subsection 05(G), of Article 09, Tree Preservation, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) "... the City Council -- upon recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission -- may consider an alternative tree mitigation settlement agreement..." Staff should point out that within Chapter 7, Community Character, of the OURHometown Vision 2040 Comprehensive Plan, Implementation Strategy \#4 indicates that the City of Rockwall should "(I)dentify opportunities and explore possible incentives for the relocation of existing overhead utilities underground." That being said an Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement is a discretionary decision for the City Council pending a recommendation from the Planning and Zoning Commission. On August 31, 2021, the Planning and Zoning Commission reviewed the applicant's request and approved a motion to recommend approval of the Alternative Tree Mitigation Settlement Agreement by a vote of 5-1, with Commissioner Deckard dissenting and Commissioner Womble absent. Should the City Council have any questions concerning the applicants request, staff will be available at the meeting on September 7, 2021.

## DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION

City of Rockwall
Planning and Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75087

STAFF USE ONLY
PLANNING \& ZONING CASE NO.
NOTE: THE APPLICATION IS NOT CONSIDERED ACCEPTED BY THE CITY UNTIL THE PLANNING DIRECTOR AND CITY ENGINEER HAVE SIGNED BELOW.

DIRECTOR OF PLANNING:
CITY ENGINEER:

PLEASE CHECK THE APPROPRIATE BOX BELOW TO INDICATE THE TYPE OF DEVELOPMENT REQUEST [SELECT ONLY ONE BOX]:

| PLATTING APPLICATION FEES: |
| :--- |
| $\square$ MASTER PLAT $(\$ 100.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ PRELIMINARY PLAT $\left(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00\right.$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$ |
| $\square$ FINAL PLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$ |
| $\square$ REPLAT $(\$ 300.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) |
| $\square$ AMENDING OR MINOR PLAT $(\$ 150.00)$ |
| $\square$ PLAT REINSTATEMENT REQUEST $(\$ 100.00)$ |
| SITE PLAN APPLICATION FEES: |
| $\square$ SITE PLAN $(\$ 250.00+\$ 20.00$ ACRE) |
| $\square$ AMENDED SITE PLAN/ELEVATIONS/LANDSCAPING PLAN $(\$ 100.00)$ |

## ZONING APPLICATION FEES:

$\square$ ZONING CHANGE ( $\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00$ ACRE) ${ }^{1}$
$\square$ SPECIFIC USE PERMIT $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
$\square$ PD DEVELOPMENT PLANS $(\$ 200.00+\$ 15.00 \text { ACRE })^{1}$
OTHER APPLICATION FEES:
$\square$ TREE REMOVAL (\$75.00)
I VARIANCE REQUEST ( $\$ 100.00$ )
NOTES:
${ }^{1}: \operatorname{IN}$ DETERMINING THE FEE, PLEASE USE THE EXACT ACREAGE WHEN MULTIPLYING BY THE PER ACRE AMOUNT. FOR REQUESTS ON LESS THAN ONE ACRE, ROUND UP TO ONE (1) ACRE.

PROPERTY INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]
ADDRESS

| SUBDIVISION | Rockwall Technology Park Phase $V$ | LOT | BLOCK |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| GENERAL LOCATION | East of Data Drive, West of Rachel Rd, North of $\mathrm{SH}-276$ |  |  |

ZONING, SITE PLAN AND PLATTING INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT]

CURRENT ZONING
PROPOSED ZONING
ACREAGE
LOTS [CURRENT]

CURRENT USE
PROPOSED USE


- SITE PLANS AND PLATS: BY CHECKING THIS BOX YOU ACKNOWLEDGE THAT DUE TO THE PASSAGE OF HB3167 THE CITY NO LONGER HAS FLEXIBILITY WITH REGARD TO ITS APPROVAL PROCESS, AND FAILURE TO ADDRESS ANY OF STAFFS COMMENTS BY THE DATE PROVIDED ON THE DEVELOPMENT CALENDAR WII RESULT IN THE DENIAL OF YOUR CASE.
OWNER/APPLICANT/AGENT INFORMATION [PLEASE PRINT/CHECK The PRIMARY CONTACTIORIGINAL SIGNATURES ARE REQUIRED]
,
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS
Rockwall Economic Development Corp.
Matt Wavering
2610 Observation Til
Suite 104
CITY, STATE \& ZIP Rockwall, TX 75032
PHONE 972-772-0025
E-MAIL mwavering@rockwalledc.com
$\square$ APPLICANT
CONTACT PERSON
ADDRESS

CITY, STATE \& ZIP
PHONE
E-MAIL

NOTARY VERIFICATION [REQUIRED]
BEFORE ME, THE UNDERSIGNED AUTHORITY, ON THIS DAY PERSONALLY APPEARED $\qquad$ [OWNER] THE UNDERSIGNED, WHO STATED THE INFORMATION ON THIS APPLICATION TO BE TRUE AND CERTIFIED THE FOLLOWING:



City of Rockwall
Planning \& Zoning Department
385 S. Goliad Street
Rockwall, Texas 75032
(P): (972) 771-7745
(W): www.rockwall.com

August 2, 2021
Mr. Ryan Miller
Planning Director
City of Rockwall
385 S. Goliad
Rockwall, TX 75087

Re: Tree Mitigation Waiver Request
Mr. Miller:
The Rockwall Economic Development Corporation plans to install horizontal infrastructure to develop Phase V of the Rockwall Technology Park. Plans include new roadways, public and franchise utilities, a stormwater system, and regional detention pond to open up the future development of approximately 200 acres of Light Industrial zoned land. The proposed online regional detention pond design utilizes the existing contours and natural creek alignment of the existing floodplain. This design will maintain the natural conveyance of flow, limit the amount of grading required, and preserve as many existing mature trees as possible while contributing to the natural wetland prairie aesthetic. The attached tree conservation plan illustrates the proposed project and the trees that will be impacted or protected.

The grading and paving work associated with the above infrastructure will displace roughly 2,224 caliper inches of existing protected trees, as calculated in accordance with the City of Rockwall's tree preservation ordinance. The Rockwall EDC will plant 80 caliper inches of replacement trees in the new Discovery Blvd median to offset the displaced trees, but the net impact will require mitigation of approximately 2,144 caliper inches. In lieu of additional replacement trees, the REDC would be responsible to contribute approximately $\$ 215,000$ to the tree preservation fund.

Considering that the Rockwall EDC is publicly funded and will utilize the issuance of public infrastructure bonds to fund the project, we would like to propose an alternative that may have a greater aesthetic impact. Three franchise utility providers - Oncor Electric, Farmers Electric Cooperative, and Suddenlink Communications - have existing overhead lines running adjacent to Springer Rd and Rochell Rd. The infrastructure project includes widening and improving both of those roadways. In lieu of the funds for tree mitigation, the Rockwall EDC proposes paying to underground those franchise utilities at an estimated cost of $\$ 570,475$. Moving these lines underground before the development of the lots will create a more aesthetically pleasing business park consistent with earlier phases of the development. We believe that this will help further the Rockwall EDC's mission of broadening and diversifying the tax base through new business attraction, land acquisition and development, progressive infrastructure, corporate business expansion, and job creation.

We respectfully request that the Planning \& Zoning Commission consider approval of the
attached Tree Conservation Plan and that the Rockwall City Council consider granting a waiver of the contribution to the tree preservation fund.

Sincerely,


Matt Wavering
Director of Project Development
attachments







| Tree Number | Species | Diamete <br> at Breast Height <br> (Inches) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Canopy } \\ \text { Cover } \\ \text { (Feet) } \end{gathered}$ | General Condition | Northing | Easting | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Remove } \\ & \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N} \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Healthy } \\ & \text { Removed } \\ & \text { (Inches) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mititgation } \\ & \text { Required } \\ & \text { (Inches) } \end{aligned}$ | Tree Number | Species | Diameter <br> at Breast <br> Height (Inches) <br> (lnches) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|c\|} \substack{\text { cover } \\ \text { (Feet) }} \end{array}$ | General Condition | Northing | Easting | $\left\|\begin{array}{c} \text { Remove } \\ \mathrm{Y} / \mathrm{N} \end{array}\right\|$ | $\begin{array}{\|l\|l} \text { Healthy } \\ \text { Removed } \\ \text { (Inches) } \end{array}$ | Mitigation Required (Inches) (Inches) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Black Willow | 14.1 | 16 | Heathy | 7021392.84 | 2612999.18 | Y | 14.1 | 0.0 | 76 | Cedar Elm | 16.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7022006.51 | 2612191.18 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 2 | Cedar Elm | 14.5 | 18 | Heathy | 7021392.69 | 2612983.82 | $Y$ | 14.5 | 14.5 | 77 | Cedar Elm | 9.1 | 12 | Healthy | 7022014.28 | 2612204.59 | Y | 9.1 | 9.1 |
| 3 | Hackberry | 11.4 | 12 | Healthy | 7021383.87 | 2612976.42 | r | 11.4 | 5.7 | 78 | Cedar Elm | 13.0 | 18 | Healthy | 7021999.00 | 2612195.15 | Y | 13.0 | 13.0 |
| 4 | Hackberry | 13.6 | 16 | Heathy | 7021438.96 | 2612947.02 | Y | 13.6 | 6.8 | 79 | Bois d'Arc | 13.9 | 10 | Heathy | 7021804.06 | 2612382.72 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 5 | Hackberry | 12.3 | 14 | Healthy | 7021455.58 | 2612954.60 | Y | 12.3 | 6.2 | 80 | Hackberry | 24.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7020676.07 | 2612969.78 | Y | 24.3 | 12.2 |
| 6 | Hackberry | 13.4 | 17 | Healthy | 7021490.14 | 2612974.08 | Y | 13.4 | 6.7 | 81 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 9 | Damaged | 7020712.75 | 2612956.25 | r | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 7 | Cedar Elm | 5.9 | 8 | Heathy | 7021518.24 | 2612970.22 | Y | 5.9 | 5.9 | 82 | Hackberry | 26.9 | 16 | Healthy | 7020709.47 | 2612950.39 | Y | 26.9 | 53.8 |
| 8 | Hackberry | 15.3 | 18 | Healthy | 7021559.52 | 2612914.12 | $Y$ | 15.3 | 7.7 | 83 | Cedar Elm | 6.8 | 9 | Healthy | 7020751.40 | 2612938.72 | Y | 6.8 | 6.8 |
| 9 | Hackberry | 15.9 | 18 | Healthy | 7021560.62 | 2612891.78 | Y | 15.9 | 8.0 | 84 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 8 | Healthy | 7020742.75 | 2612941.23 | Y | 4.8 | 4.8 |
| 10 | Hackberry | 15.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7021572.35 | 2612866.93 | Y | 15.5 | 7.8 | 85 | Hackberry | 16.0 | 18 | Healthy | 7020755.86 | 2612925.40 | r | 16.0 | 8.0 |
| 11 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 7 | Healthy | 7021585.37 | 2612833.55 | Y | 4.8 | 4.8 | 86 | Hackberry | 21.4 | 25 | Healthy | 7020786.62 | 2612945.92 | r | 21.4 | 10.7 |
| 12 | Cedar Elm | 8.3 | 12 | Healthy | 7021614.42 | 2612833.73 | Y | 8.3 | 8.3 | 87 | Hackberry | 12.2 | 16 | Healthy | 7020796.79 | 2612940.32 | Y | 12.2 | 6.1 |
| 13 | Cedar Elm | 18.2 | 20 | Healthy | 7021615.19 | 2612799.27 | Y | 18.2 | 18.2 | 88 | Hackberry | 26.0 | 28 | Healthy | 7020811.55 | 2612967.64 | $r$ | 26.0 | 52.0 |
| 14 | American Elm | 23.7 | 21 | Healthy | 7021647.97 | 2612810.95 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 89 | Hackberry | 14.0 | 11 | Healthy | 7020818.35 | 2612931.72 | Y | 14.0 | 7.0 |
| 15 | Cedar Elm | 9.9 | 12 | Healthy | 7021679.60 | 2612782.44 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 90 | Hackberry | 16.2 | 18 | Healthy | 7020845.84 | 2612937.80 | Y | 16.2 | 8.1 |
| 16 | Cedar Elm | 19.3 | 24 | Healthy | 7021667.94 | 2612768.03 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 91 | Hackberry | 12.1 | 14 | Healthy | 7020849.83 | 2612942.89 | Y | 12.1 | 6.1 |
| 17 | American Elm | 6.5 | 12 | Healthy | 7021680.00 | 2612767.70 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 92 | Hackberry | 24.5 | 25 | Healthy | 7028853.05 | 2612953.31 | Y | 24.5 | 12.3 |
| 18 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 |  | Healthy | 7021664.37 | 2612747.92 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 93 | Hackberry | 16.3 | 19 | Healthy | 7020862.79 | 2612915.82 | Y | 16.3 | 8.2 |
| 19 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 15 | Healthy | 7021670.25 | 2612758.88 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 94 | Cedar Elm | 7.6 | 108 | Healthy | 7020872.46 | 2612894.62 | Y | 7.6 | 7.6 |
| 20 | Cedar Elm | 8.9 | 14 | Healthy | 7021648.39 | 2612760.76 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 95 | Cedar Elm | 13.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7020863.74 | 2612850.49 | Y | 13.2 | 13.2 |
| 21 | Cedar Elm | 21.7 | 24 | Healthy | 7021639.62 | 2612746.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 96 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.6 | 12 | Healthy | 7020855.30 | 2612833.54 | Y | 11.6 | 5.8 |
| 22 | Cedar Elm | 12.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7021626.11 | 2612748.75 | Y | 12.2 | 12.2 | 97 | Hackberry | 11.6 | 14 | Healthy | 7020868.81 | 2612823.79 | Y | 11.6 | 5.8 |
| 23 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 |  | Healthy | 7021654.03 | 2612778.17 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 98 | Hackberry | 33.2 | 19 | Healthy | 7020932.65 | 2612878.42 | Y | 33.2 | 66.4 |
| 24 | Cedar Elm | 6.6 | 12 | Healthy | 7021658.19 | 2612795.61 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 99 | Hackberry | 13.0 | 17 | Healthy | 7020853.44 | 2610904.47 | Y | 13.0 | 6.5 |
| 25 | Cedar Elm | 5.8 | 9 | Healthy | 7021645.83 | 2612778.03 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100 | Cottonwood | 11.1 | 14 | Healthy | 7020160.31 | 2610820.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 26 | Cedar Elm | 5.4 | 9 | Healthy | 7021630.58 | 2612774.74 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 101 | Green Ash | 5.0 | 7 | Healthy | 7020127.07 | 2610855.31 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 27 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 7 | Healthy | 7021631.17 | 2612738.86 | Y | 4.0 | 4.0 | 102 | Black Willow | 28.6 | 15 | Healthy | 7019969.62 | 2611032.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 28 | Cedar Elm | 6.2 | 11 | Healthy | 7021628.70 | 2612737.49 | Y | 6.2 | 6.2 | 103 | American Elm | 10.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7019961.69 | 2611151.66 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 29 | Hackberry | 11.8 | 17 | Healthy | 7021639.45 | 2612741.86 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 104 | Eastern Red Cedar | 14.3 | 22 | Healthy | 7020895.09 | 2612004.53 | Y | 14.3 | 7.2 |
| 30 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 9 | Healthy | 7021667.78 | 2612736.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 105 | Hackberry | 11.0 | 14 | Healthy | 7022106.77 | 2611958.31 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 |
| 31 | Hackberry | 12.2 | 16 | Healthy | 7021724.84 | 2612696.35 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 106 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7022093.84 | 2612011.85 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 32 | Hackberry | 11.4 | 16 | Healthy | 7021725.11 | 2612677.74 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 107 | Hackberry | 22.0 | 25 | Healthy | 7022043.04 | 2612065.73 | Y | 22.0 | 11.0 |
| 33 | Cedar Elm | 9.6 | 15 | Healthy | 7021749.13 | 2612657.65 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 108 | Hackberry | 15.4 | 19 | Healthy | 7022026.24 | 2612051.19 | Y | 15.4 | 7.7 |
| 34 | Hackberry | 12.8 | 18 | Healthy | 7021757.48 | 2612650.29 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 109 | Hercules-club | 6.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7022034.69 | 2612082.18 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 35 | Cedar Elm | 7.5 | 14 | Healthy | 7021759.21 | 2612675.81 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 110 | Hackberry | 12.4 | 15 | Healthy | 7022043.93 | 2612143.94 | Y | 12.4 | 6.2 |
| 36 | Cedar Elm | 6.2 | 10 | Healthy | 7021774.62 | 2612657.47 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 111 | Cedar Elm | 4.1 | 7 | Healthy | 7022057.62 | 2612146.66 | Y | 4.1 | 4.1 |
| 37 | Cedar Elm | 5.1 | 12 | Healthy | 7021792.46 | 2612650.20 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 112 | Cedar Elm | 6.9 | 9 | Healthy | 7022059.61 | 2612130.06 | Y | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| 38 | Hackberry | 23.1 | 17 | Healthy | 7021765.96 | 2612617.43 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 113 | Cedar Elm | 12.5 | 15 | Healthy | 7022062.35 | 2612117.06 | Y | 12.5 | 12.5 |
| 39 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7021770.12 | 2612606.04 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 114 | Hackberry | 12.0 | 15 | Healthy | 7022074.95 | 2612185.09 | Y | 12.0 | 6.0 |
| 40 | Cedar Elm | 4.9 | 7 | Healthy | 7021791.46 | 2612593.88 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 115 | Cedar Elm | 12.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7022070.40 | 2612193.62 | Y | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| 41 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 6 | Healthy | 7021786.37 | 2612558.06 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 116 | Hackberry | 14.8 | 16 | Healthy | 7022079.45 | 2612198.52 | Y | 14.8 | 7.4 |
| 42 | Hackberry | 12.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7021784.77 | 2612539.75 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 117 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 7 | Healthy | 7022099.07 | 2612184.53 | Y | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 43 | Cedar Elm | 18.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7021772.73 | 2612523.05 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 118 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 8 | Healthy | 7022107.32 | 2612206.24 | Y | 4.6 | 4.6 |
| 44 | Cedar Elm | 8.0 | 13 | Healthy | 7021781.35 | 2612483.73 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 119 | Hackberry | 14.3 | 19 | Healthy | 7022107.19 | 2612216.38 | Y | 14.3 | 7.2 |
| 45 | Hackberry | 12.5 | 15 | Healthy | 7021805.45 | 2612482.39 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 120 | Cedar Elm | 5.4 | 7 | Healthy | 7022096.68 | 2612232.84 | Y | 5.4 | 5.4 |
| 46 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7021827.52 | 2612459.05 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 121 | Cedar Elm | 12.0 | 17 | Healthy | 7022065.01 | 2612217.35 | Y | 12.0 | 12.0 |
| 47 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7021815.89 | 2612449.65 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 122 | Cedar Elm | 5.9 | 9 | Healthy | 7022064.19 | 2612212.75 | Y | 5.9 | 5.9 |
| 48 | Hackberry | 15.5 | 17 | Healthy | 7021811.01 | 2612418.16 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 123 | Cedar Elm | 4.6 | 7 | Damaged | 7022059.57 | 2612210.04 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 49 | Hackberry | 13.4 | 18 | Healthy | 7021808.75 | 2612408.44 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 124 | Cedar Elm | 12.3 | 15 | Heathy | 7022056.64 | 2612209.82 | Y | 12.3 | 12.3 |
| 50 | Cedar Elm | 27.1 | 25 | Healthy | 7021827.42 | 2612387.69 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 125 | Cedar Elm | 7.3 | 10 | Healthy | 7022049.05 | 2612204.85 | Y | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| 51 | Cedar Elm | 6.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7021864.15 | 2612361.19 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 126 | Cedar Elm | 9.9 | 14 | Healthy | 7022041.26 | 2612210.06 | r | 9.9 | 9.9 |
| 52 | American Elm | 10.3 | 15 | Healthy | 7021855.94 | 2612340.45 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 127 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 8 | Healthy | 7022034.96 | 2612211.75 | Y | 4.2 | 4.2 |
| 53 | Cedar Elm | 4.5 | 8 | Damaged | 7021855.76 | 2612337.10 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 | 128 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 11 | Healthy | 7022038.30 | 2612231.01 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| 54 | Cedar Elm | 6.6 | 9 | Healthy | 7021835.98 | 2612357.37 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 129 | Cedar Elm | 5.6 | 9 | Healthy | 7022062.72 | 2612237.62 | Y | 5.6 | 5.6 |
| 55 | Eastern Red Cedar | 14.0 | 12 | Healthy | 7021830.71 | 2612337.44 | Y | 14.0 | 7.0 | 130 | Cedar Elm | 6.9 | 10 | Healthy | 7022064.16 | 2612236.81 | Y | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| 56 | Cedar Elm | 9.2 | 14 | Healthy | 7021872.15 | 2612334.85 | N | 0.0 | 0.0 | 131 | Hackberry | 13.5 | 16 | Healthy | 7022076.23 | 2612244.42 | Y | 13.5 | 6.8 |
| 57 | Common Persimmon | 8.8 | 11 | Healthy | 7021882.94 | 2612292.54 | Y | 8.8 | 8.8 | 132 | Hackberry | 11.2 | 15 | Healthy | 7022113.69 | 2612267.42 | Y | 11.2 | 5.6 |
| 58 | Eastern Red Cedar | 11.0 | 10 | Healthy | 7021873.07 | 2612293.45 | Y | 11.0 | 5.5 | 133 | Cedar Elm | 6.7 |  | Healthy | 7022109.71 | 2612289.04 | Y | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| 59 | Cedar Elm | 6.0 | 8 | Healthy | 7021894.96 | 2612277.90 | Y | 6.0 | 6.0 | 134 | Cedar Elm | 14.1 | 19 | Healthy | 7022106.57 | 2612320.78 | Y | 14.1 | 14.1 |
| 60 | Cedar Elm | 5.7 | 8 | Healthy | 7021908.05 | 2612283.66 | Y | 5.7 | 5.7 | 135 | Cedar Elm | 4.3 | 8 | Healthy | 7022106.27 | 2612347.89 | Y | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| 61 | Hackberry | 11.7 | 17 | Healthy | 7021916.98 | 2612281.95 | r | 11.7 | 5.9 | 136 | Cedar Elm | 6.5 | 9 | Healthy | 7022102.67 | 2612353.91 | Y | 6.5 | 6.5 |
| 62 | Cedar Elm | 6.8 | 8 | Healthy | 7021927.90 | 2612289.94 | r | 6.8 | 6.8 | 137 | Cedar Elm | 13.6 | 16 | Healthy | 7022079.03 | 2612365.58 | Y | 13.6 | 13.6 |
| 63 | Cedar Elm | 4.8 | 8 | Healthy | 7021913.18 | 2612270.42 | r | 4.8 | 4.8 | 138 | Cedar Elm | 6.4 | 9 | Healthy | 7022080.95 | 2612374.11 | Y | 6.4 | 6.4 |
| 64 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 7 | Healthy | 7021916.59 | 2612264.15 | Y | 4.2 | 4.2 | 139 | Cedar Elm | 5.1 | 9 | Healthy | 7022080.26 | 2612377.01 | Y | 5.1 | 5.1 |
| 65 | Cedar Elm | 4.2 | 7 | Healthy | 7021944.72 | 2612262.93 | r | 4.2 | 4.2 | 140 | Cedar Elm | 5.0 | 9 | Healthy | 7022063.73 | 2612380.68 | Y | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| 66 | Cedar Elm | 5.5 | 8 | Healthy | 7021952.01 | 2612244.04 | $r$ | 5.5 | 5.5 | 141 | Cedar Elm | 5.2 | 7 | Healthy | 7022056.05 | 2612387.87 | $r$ | 5.2 | 5.2 |
| $\frac{67}{68}$ | Cedar Elm | 23.7 | 18 | Healthy | 7021954.01 | 2612220.12 | $r$ | 23.7 | 23.7 | 142 | Cedar Elm | 4.0 | 7 | Healthy | 7022054.12 | 2612391.16 |  | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| $\begin{array}{r}68 \\ \hline 69 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cedar Elm }}^{\text {Cedar Em }}$ | 10.0 | 14 | Healthy Healthy | 7021993.89 702199393 | 2612161.77 | r | 10.0 8.0 | 10.0 8.0 | $\frac{143}{144}$ | ${ }_{\text {Cedar Elm }}$ Cedar Flm | 8.6 | 11 | Damaged | 7022028.06 702200617 | 2612390.04 | $\stackrel{Y}{Y}$ | 0.0 8.2 | 0.0 8.2 |
| 70 | Cedar Elm | 4.5 | 7 | Healthy | 7021990.37 | 2612151.16 | $Y$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 145 | Cedar Elm | $\stackrel{11.0}{ }$ | 17 | Healthy | 7022001.66 | 2612391.46 | $r$ | 11.0 11.0 | 8.2 <br> 11.0 |
| 71 | Cedar Elm | 15.5 | 18 | Healthy | 7022027.88 | 2612144.71 | Y | 15.5 | 15.5 | 146 | Cedar Elm | 11.1 | 18 | Healthy | 7022010.85 | 2612374.21 | $r$ | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| 72 | Cedar Elm | 10.5 | 13 | Healthy | 7022018.08 | 2612151.61 | Y | 10.5 | 10.5 | 148 | Hackberry | 16.5 | 12 | Heathy | 7022139.44 | 2611976.76 | $r$ | 16.5 | ${ }_{8} 8$ |
| 73 | Cedar Elm | 7.0 | 10 | Healthy | 7022006.62 | 2612164.52 | Y | 7.0 | 7.0 | 149 | Hackberry | 13.7 | 12 | Damaged | 7022148.17 | 261976.43 | Y | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| 74 | Cedar Elm | 14.5 | 17 | Healthy | 7022017.26 | 2612171.54 | r | 14.5 | 14.5 | 150 | Hackberry | 14.4 | 16 | Heathy | 7022176.14 | 2611932.37 | r | 14.4 | 7.2 |
| 75 | Cedar Elm | 7.3 | 9 | Heathy | 7022023.23 | 2612188.68 | r | 7.3 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |





City of Rockwall
The cNaw FPouzon

## MEMORANDUM

## TO: Mayor and Council <br> FROM: Chris Kosterman, Art Board Member <br> DATE: $\quad$ September 7,2021 <br> SUBJECT: Approval of Public Art Project - Painted Butterfly Art

## Project:

At the July meeting, the Art Commission voted to recommend the butterfly project to City Council. The commission is asking for $\$ 10,000.00$ for construction of 10 metal butterflies, mounting materials, and paint supplies, plaques and incidentals.

## Butterfly Specs:

Each metal butterfly measures approximately $3^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ X $3^{\prime} \mathrm{H}$ X 2" ${ }^{\prime \prime}$ and will be mounted on a metal pole approximately 8 ' to 10 ' tall upon completion. Butterflies will be installed in all eight of the City's butterfly gardens, along with two public areas, to be determined.

## Artists:

The Art Commission has asked Rockwall ISD high school art students to paint the butterflies. The commission will bring artwork concepts back to council for final approval prior to painting.

## Purpose:

Many of you served on City Council and approved the fiberglass guitar project, which has been a success and has continued to receive praise by the public. This visual art project is very similar in nature and was chosen by the commission to bring awareness to the City's Monarch Butterfly Pledge and to enhance Rockwall's award-winning parks. The commission seeks to bring more awareness and public education through this joint partnership with the school district.

## Timeline:

Fabrication: Fall 2021
Delivery to Artists: Winter 2022
Target Completion: Spring 2022
Installation/Unveiling: Spring 2022
Installation Locations:
Harry Myers
Northshore
Emerald Bay
Hickory Ridge
Stone Creek
Breezy Hill
Shores Park
Pettinger Preserve
2 Additional Public Locations (TBD)


## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Mary Smith, City Manager |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC: | Honorable Mayor and City Council |
| FROM: | Kristy Cole, City Secretary |
| DATE: | September 7, 2021 |
| SUBJECT: | APPT. WITH SUNTEX |

Attachments
Summary/Background Information
Representatives of Suntex have requested this appointment item. No information was provided in advance for inclusion in the informational meeting packet.

Action Needed n/a

City of Rockwall
The claw Souizon

## MEMORANDUM

## TO: $\quad$ Mayor and City Council members

FROM: Mary Smith, City Manager
DATE: September 2, 2021
SUBJECT: Fiscal Year 2022 Ad Valorem Taxes and Proposed Budget

The Council held the budget work session on August 24 and reviewed the Proposed FY2022 Budget in detail. The Proposed budget responds to the improved economy and attempts to restore programs that had to be discontinued or limited as we prepared the budget for fiscal year 2021 in very uncertain times.

There are eleven new positions funded in the proposed budget including eight in the Police budget. There is some replacement equipment included in the proposed budget as well as a reinstatement of our street maintenance funding back to its pre-pandemic level with nearly $\$ 2.5$ million dollars to be used for repairs to extend the life of our streets.

The Proposed budget reflects tax revenues that assume adoption of the proposed rate that is 2cents less than the current tax rate and below the No New Revenue Tax Rate. The proposed rate is 35.00 cents per hundred dollars of assessed value. This is the lowest tax rate since 1989.

Prior to adoption of the budget, the Charter requires a public hearing. The budget is based on a rate less than the No New Revenue Tax rate and Voter Approval Rate so additional public hearings are not required although the tax rate itself is certainly a subject that may be discussed during the Public Hearing.

If the Council has any changes to be made to the budget draft those can be discussed at this meeting. The Council will need to vote to advertise the adoption of the proposed 35.00 cent tax rate at the September 20th meeting.

## MEMORANDUM

| TO: | Mary Smith, City Manager |
| :--- | :--- |
| CC: | Honorable Mayor and City Council |
| FROM: | Ryan Miller, Director of Planning and Zoning |
| DATE: | September 7, 2021 |
| SUBJECT: | CHANGE TO URBAN RESIDENTIAL IN THE DOWNTOWN (DT) DISTRICT |

Attachments
Memorandum
Summary/Background Information
Discuss and consider directing staff to initiate a text amendment to Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) for the purpose of changing the Permissible Use Charts to require a Specific Use Permit (SUP) for the Urban Residential land use in the Downtown (DT) District, and take any action necessary.

Action Needed
The City Council is being asked to provide direction to staff regarding the proposed changes to the Unified Development Code (UDC).

TO:<br>CC: Mary Smith, City Manager<br>Joey Boyd, Assistant City Manager<br>FROM: $\quad$ Ryan Miller, Director of Planning and Zoning<br>DATE:<br>SUBJECT:<br>September 7, 2021<br>Change to Urban Residential in the Downtown (DT) District

Recently the Mayor has asked staff to review the Urban Residential land use in the Permissible Use Charts contained in Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC) and propose changes to ensure that a public hearing would be required for this land use in the future. Based on this staff has prepared the following:

## Background on the Urban Residential Land Use

The Urban Residential land use was originally created with the adoption of the Unified Development Code (UDC) on June 7, 2004 by Ordinance No. 04-38. At the time of adoption, this undefined land use was only permitted by-right in the Central Business District (CBD) and by Specific Use Permit (SUP) in the Multi-Family 14 (MF-14) District. The land use standards for this land use (at that time of adoption and remaining unchanged today) are as follows:

## Urban Residential

(1) Urban Residential includes residential development which at least partly face streets, public sidewalks, or common open space, or which are located above retail office or service uses.
(2) Ground floor Urban Residential should have direct access to a sidewalk via a stoop or landing, and a majority of parking should be located in a structure.

Staff should note, that this land use was created as a result of the Downtown Plan: Blueprint for a Downtown Village [referred to as the Downtown Plan], which was drafted by a team of consultants and adopted by the City Council on November 15, 2004. This plan states that "(i)n the Downtown District, there should be a variety of housing including townhomes, lofts (with or without retail at grade) and "big home" style properties (buildings and site plans which look like large homes, but may contain 2-6 or so living units) ..." and that "... these properties should be targeted to young professionals, 'empty nesters' and retirees." When the Downtown (DT) District was created by Ordinance No. 07-06 on February 5, 2007 the Urban Residential land use was indicated as being a permitted by-right land use in this ordinance (subject to the land use standards above). The reason for this was most likely due to the fact that the land use was previously allowed by-right in the Central Business District (CBD), and that the Downtown (DT) District was designed to replace this district moving forward.

On September 3, 2019, the City Council adopted Ordinance No. 19-32, which provided definitions for all land uses contained in the Permissible Use Charts as stipulated by Article 04, Permissible Uses, of the Unified Development Code (UDC). The change defined Urban Residential as "...(a) development situated within the City's Downtown (DT) District -- which is also referred to as the urban core -- that allows for multiple single-family dwelling units grouped into a single building."

## Proposed Changes to Ensure a Public Hearing is Required

The following changes could be implemented to ensure that a public hearing is required for all Urban Residential requests moving forward:


## ADDITIONS: HIGHLIGHTED; DELECTION: HGHLIGHTED STRIKETHROUGH

By making this change and requiring the Urban Residential land use to get a Specific Use Permit (SUP) in the Downtown (DT) District, the City Council will retain more discretion with each specific request. In addition, the City Council could consider amending the definition of Urban Residential to include only certain types of housing. For example, the definition could be changed to "...(a) development situated within the City's Downtown (DT) District -- which is also referred to as the urban core -- that allows for single-family, single-family attached, townhomes, and lofts (i.e. one [1] story of residential above commercial only)."

## Process for Text Amendment

Should the City Council choose to direct staff to make changes to the Unified Development Code (UDC) at the September 7, 2021 City Council meeting the calendar for these changes would be as follows:

Planning and Zoning Commission Work Session: September 28, 2021
Planning and Zoning Commission Public Hearing: October 12, 2021
City Council Public Hearing/First Reading: October 18, 2021
City Council Second Reading: November 1, 2021
Staff should note that this change will not have any effect on any requests that have previously submitted a development application. Should the City Council have any questions concerning the proposed changes or process, staff will be available at the September 7, 2021 meeting.

City of Rockwall The chew Houizon

## MEMORANDUM

## TO: Honorable Mayor and City Council Members

FROM: Kristy Cole, City Secretary / Assistant to the City Manager
DATE: September 3, 2021
SUBJECT: Appointment of Students to YAC Program for 2021-2022 School Year

Councilmembers Campbell and Jorif currently serve as the City Council liaisons for the Rockwall Youth Advisory Council (YAC) program. On Thurs., Sept. 2 Councilmembers Campbell and Jorif, along with Assistant to the City Secretary, Margaret Delaney, three returning YAC students, and me held brief interviews with 10 applicants who applied to serve on YAC for the 2021-2022 school year. We currently have four (4) vacancies to fill, as four seniors graduated last school year, and the remaining eight (8) YAC students have all expressed a desire to return to the program and serve again this school year.

Interviewing student applicants always proves to be a delightful and fun, yet challenging experience. There truly are so many good applicants that interview panelists end up wishing we could accept most, if not all of them, into the YAC program each year; however, for various reasons we have always limited participation in our YAC program to twelve (12) students overall.

When the interview panel vets applicants, several factors are considered (i.e. mixture of males and females; mixture of ages; residency (in what city do they reside, and will that City financially sponsor the student to participate?); personality mix (outgoing vs. more introverted students), how did they fare in the brief interview?, etc.). Based on our most recent interview and selection process, Councilmembers Campbell and Jorif would like to put forth the following slate of students for consideration by the full Council at this time. I have included each of these four students' applications and letters of recommendation within your meeting packet for your review and consideration. In addition, I have reached out to city management in both Fate and Heath to seek financial sponsorship for the students living in each of those cities. I feel confident that I will be able to secure those sponsorships (\$250/student) in the coming weeks.

Councilmember Campbell, Councilmember Jorif and/or I will be happy to answer any questions you may have pertaining to these recommended appointments and/or the YAC program in general at the meeting Tuesday evening.

On behalf of the interview panel participants, thank you for your consideration of this slate of students:

PROPOSED YAC APPOINTEES
2021-2022 SCHOOL YEAR


## Youth Advisory Council Application

| Name | Maddoc Johnson |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address | Street Address: |
|  | City: Rockwall |
|  | State : Tx |
|  | Zip Code: 75087 |

Home Phone
Cell Phone
Email
Are You a Rockwall $\quad$ Yes
Resident?

School Rockwall High School
Date of Birth
Grade
Age
Clubs/Activities
10

* Wrestling Team
* Student Council Club
* National Society Of High School Scholars
* Spanish Club
* Young Men's Service League

Why are you interested in the I first heard about the Youth Advisory Council from my Youth Advisory Council? older sister, and I have wanted to apply ever since. I originally became interested in politics in the most recent presidential election so I started looking for ways to become involved in my local government. I find legislation very intriguing and the idea of being the voice of youths in the City of Rockwall would make me very proud. Attending several RISD School Board meetings has furthered my curiosity about the council-like systems we have all around us in government. I believe this would be an amazing opportunity to learn about the innerworkings of the city government with peers that find it as interesting as I do. I feel I have so much knowledge to gain from observing how my city's government works, as well as having good, intelligent conversations with students.

Participation requirements are part of the YAC program. Are you willing to commit to Yes

Andrew B. Keiser

Rockwall, TX 75087
08/27/2021

Kristy Cole<br>City Secretary<br>City of Rockwall<br>kcole@rockwall.com

## Dear Kristy Cole:

My name is Andy Keiser and I have known Maddoc Johnson and his family for nearly ten years. Our families have been members of the same church small group nearly the full ten years, and one of my daughters has attended school with Maddoc at Grace Hartman Elementary, J.W. Williams Middle School, and now Rockwall High School, since Kindergarten. More recently, I've had the pleasure of hosting Maddoc, along with a small group of boys, in a small discipleship group/bible study. Maddoc also occasionally participates in a Wednesday night coed discussion group that my wife and I host at our local church.

I am delighted to recommend Maddoc Johnson for participation in Rockwall's Youth Advisory Council. Maddoc is a fantastic candidate for a program aimed at creating positive community impact while representing local government. Aside from being intelligent, motivated, and witty, Maddoc has developed an appreciation for the benefits of serving local communities.

Our families have served together annually for several years at Mission Waco Mission World, engaging with under-privileged kids, cleaning and mowing various facilities, and hosting devotionals at the homeless shelter, among other things. Maddoc has consistently been one of the kids who is not only willing to do whatever is asked of him but jumps in where he sees a need. (Earlier this year, Maddoc directed traffic for hours while we were assisting with the organization's annual 5K fundraiser.) It's been a pleasure to see Maddoc grow and mature in this way.

Maddoc is also a well-rounded individual, participating in school wrestling, Spanish Club, and various other serving opportunities. Having been around students for numerous years and in varying capacities, I've had the opportunity to experience a wide range of personalities and maturity levels. Maddoc is, without a doubt, above average in his maturity level, evidenced by his ability to comfortably converse with adults (matching anyone with his wit), participate in meaningful discussion, and generally being pleasant to be around.

Kristy Cole
08/27/2021
Page 2

I am certain these characteristics would make Maddoc a great choice for participation in Rockwall's Youth Advisory Council, and I appreciate the opportunity to share my thoughts and experiences. If you would like additional information about Maddoc, please feel free to phone me at the number below.

Sincerely,


Andrew B. Keiser

FUNERAL HOMES * CEMETERY * CREMATORY * FLORIST

August 20, 2021

Ms. Kristy Cole
City of Rockwall

Dear Ms. Cole,
It is with great pleasure and confidence that I recommend Maddoc Johnson for the City of Rockwall Youth Advisory Council. Without a doubt, I believe that Maddoc would be an excellent addition to the program.

Maddoc and his family are long-time Rockwall residents. It is no wonder that Maddoc seeks to serve. The example of service set by his family members, including his sister Mazie Johnson, is outstanding. Following that service-oriented path is a natural direction that Maddoc has consciously chosen.

Maddoc is involved in several school activities at Rockwall High School. He is an active participant on the RHS Wrestling Team. He also participates in the Spanish Club and Student Council Club.

Maddoc also has a heart for serving others, as reflected in the time he has committed to philanthropy. Through Young Men's Service League and Lakepointe Church, he has served at Helping Hands, the Rockwall County Library, Meals on Wheels, Buckner Shoes, Life Message, and many other organizations. Each of these achievements reflects his wide-ranging talents and interests.

Please feel free to contact me with any questions you might have. I will be happy to provide additional insights and information. Maddoc's commitment to Rockwall and serving others will be a most valuable addition and an asset to the City of Rockwall Youth Advisory Council.

Sincerely,


Dewayne Cain
Founder

## Youth Advisory Council Application

Name
Address

Keaton Steen
Street Address:
City: Heath State : TX
Zip Code: 75032
Home Phone
Email
Are You a Rockwall Resident?

School
Date of Birth
Grade 9
Age 15
Clubs/Activities I run RHHS Cross Country. Cross Country teaches me dedication, perseverance, and focus.

I have held many leadership positions in my time on Student Council. I started Student Council in 4th grade, and was elected President in 6th grade. In 7th grade I was elected Vice President, and in 8th grade I was elected President once again. I intend to get very involved with RHHS Student Council these next 4 years, and eventually become president.

I am a very active member of Boys Team Charity. In Boys Team Charity, I participate in service projects and learn things that help me better serve others/my community.

I am proud to be the 100th Eagle Scout from Heath Troop 314. I earned my Eagle Scout Badge May 26, 2021. Boy Scouts has taught me so much. I have grown as a leader, learned to be prepared to take care of myself and others if need be, and gained knowledge about citizenship.

I am the Founder and President of the Turning Point USA Chapter at RHHS. Turning Point USA is a grassroots network of political activism chapters who focus on promoting free speech, limited
government, personal responsibility, and capitalism on campus. While Conservative students are typically attracted to the chapter, we provide a forum on campus for healthy political dialogue and disagreement for students with opposing viewpoints. Turning Point USA educates, identifies, and mobilizes Conservative Students to take political action to stand up for their values. Hosting Speakers, debates, panels, activism projects, and meetings are all ways Turning Point USA will be involved on campus. Turning Point USA has been a huge part of my summer, and I have been working constantly to get my chapter school/district approved and running the best it can. *Turning Point USA at RHHS is still in the process of receiving district approval, but probably will have completed the process by the date of the interview.

I was active in "Promise Makers," a young mens christian group at school until COVID-19. I intend to be involved in Fellowship of Christian Athletes during my High School Years.

I am active in LakePointe Church and I try to serve whenever possible.

Why are you interested in the Youth Advisory Council?

I am interested in being a part of the Youth Advisory Council because I would like to contribute to my community. I think it is very important for youth to be involved in some way. For me, being involved is about service and contribution. While I have already done this through my activities, YAC is an amazing opportunity for students to go the extra mile and meet a group of like-minded, service-oriented individuals.

Participation requirements are part of the YAC program. Are you willing Yes to commit to the time \& effort required?

# MAURINE CAIN MIDDLE SCHOOL IN ROCKWALL, TEXAS 

Mrs. Jennifer Rinker | Jennifer.rinker@rockwallisd.org

August 24, 2021

To Whom It May Concern:
I am writing this reference at the request of Keaton Steen I have known Keaton Steen for the past two years in my capacity as a teacher and student council advisor at Maurine Cain Middle School in Rockwall, Texas. As a sixth grader, he ran for the office of Vice President and won. It was no surprise that the students elected him as President for his eighth grade year. In June, Keaton had met criteria and has become an Eagle Scout.

Keaton's example as a leader has only developed more as he learns to finetune his skills. As an officer, he is always a loyal and helpful member. As a student among his peers and teachers, he has proven his trustworthiness and friendliness to every person he meets. He loves to learn while cheerfully striving to do his best at everything he does.

Keaton has also proven his leadership skills through the PALS program on campus. This program works as a peer assistance program between elementary and middle school students. They also work to develop additional community service activities. On several occasions, Keaton has acted as a liaison between student council and PALS to help carry out community service and educational projects on our campus. He is always eager to help as many students and families in need.

Currently, Keaton is part of the high school leadership class and RHHS Student Council. He has even started a club geared towards teaching students about civic responsibility and how to make a positive impact.

[^0]In conclusion, I would highly recommend will be an extremely positive addition to your organization. If you need any additional information, feel free to contact me at or by email at Jennifer.rinker@rockwallisd.org anytime.

Sincerely,

Mrs. Jennifer Rinker
Cain Middle School
ESL Teacher/ STUCO Advisor

Derrice Randle Principal

Stacy Lindeman Assistant Principal

Shannon McMahan Assistant Principal

August 12, 2021
Derrice Randle
Cain Middle School
Rockwall, TX 75032
(469) 698-7325

To Whom It May Concern:

As an educator for nearly 20 years and an administrator for over a decade I have had the pleasure of serving with many students and their families. I've been able to interact with them through clubs and organizations, athletics, fine arts and of course academics. Keaton Steen is definitely a standout student. He has shown the ability to make great decisions and lead others well. My first interactions with him were as a Student Council member and then as the Student Council president. He was also, during his $8^{\text {th }}$ grade year, one of our morning announcements volunteers.

One of the most impressive commitments I've seen him live out is earning his Eagle Scout badge. As an Eagle Scout myself, I know how hard this task was to complete. I commend him for not only completing all of the necessary requirements but he did it before he started high school! This is quite a feat considering all he had going on and it was during the pandemic. Keaton is an extraordinary young man who genuinely cares about those around him and has such a humble approach to everything he does. I strongly recommend him for the Rockwall Youth Advisory Council. He would uphold the rich tradition, values, and service mindset of the organization! Feel free to contact me with any questions.

Sincerely,
Derrice Randle
Principal
Cain Middle School

Subject:

## Youth Advisory Council Application

Name
Address

Karmen Fumey-Nassah
Street Address:
City: Fate
State : TX
Zip Code: 75189
Home Phone
Email
Are You a Rockwall Resident?

School Rockwall-Heath High School
Date of Birth
Grade
11
Age 15
Clubs/Activities UIL Spelling, Track and Field
Why are you interested in the Youth Advisory Council?

I'd like to be a part of the program, because I'm interested in getting involved with the Youth Advisory Council in order to involve myself in the local processes of government. It's never too young to participate in the government, and I think being part of this Council can help me further my knowledge and understand ways to be included for positive change. I also want to be able to find how I can improve the lives of others locally through leadership.

Participation
requirements are part of the YAC program. Are you willing to commit to Yes the time \& effort required?


# Rockwall-Heath High School 

801 Laurence Drive • Heath • TX 75032 ~ 972.772.2474

Todd Bradford, Principal

Re: Karmen Fumey-Nassah, teacher recommendation for Youth Advisory Council
For the 2020-2021 school year, I have had the pleasure and benefit to teach Karmen Fumey-Nassah in AP World History. She was an amazing contributor to our classroom culture and I know that she will also be an excellent representative with your organization.

Karmen is the type of student who works hard and uses that work ethic to excel. She is discerning and quick-witted. She is also a value to any classroom discussion, because she is always present, and gives her peers and teachers her undivided attention. Her level of engagement with her environment and community is one of her most memorable qualities. She is one of my top performing students. Karmen, when stressed, does not crumple. She is more the "roll her sleeves up and get to work" kind of person. I know these characteristics will serve her well in your organization because they help her ability to meet a goal.

Karmen was always a creative thinker. In the many discussions in my courses, she continuously picked apart opposing arguments, parsing them to find the center of the argument. Her ability to do so often convinced others to her side. When developing her own perspectives and opinions, she never seemed satisfied with the superficial. She often dug deeper, falling down the "rabbit hole." When she emerged from her research, she had a cohesive perspective built out of foundational knowledge and the synthesis of multiple arguments. This ability will serve her well in your organization especially because it requires this rare consensus-making skill.

In the shortest of terms, Karmen is wonderful and I unreservedly recommend her. If you have any further questions about Karmen Fumey-Nassah, please feel free to contact me at april.platt@rockwallisd.org.

Thank you,
April Platt
AP World History, World History Studies

# City of Rockwall Youth Advisory Council 

## RE: Karmen Fumey-Nassah

I am honored to recommend Karmen Fumey-Nassah to be a member of the City of Rockwall Youth Advisory Council. Karmen has always proven to be resourceful and responsible with her school work and her behavior.

I have known Karmen for 3 years. In that time I have watched an already mature young person develop into a young adult who has the best work ethic and attitude. Last year she was a virtual student in my French 2 class and never missed an assignment or task that needed to be done. In addition to her work ethic, Karmen is a fantastic communicator both in person and via email. She is patient with her classmates who may need a little help and guides them to find the answers to difficult questions. Even in her social circles I have seen Karmen lead her peers to make positive choices.

It is my pleasure to recommend Karmen to serve on the Youth Council. She is an asset to any group.

Please let me know if you have any further questions.

Ms. Angie Rotolo
French Teacher
Rockwall-Heath High School

Subject:
FW: Youth Advisory Council Application

## Youth Advisory Council Application

Name
Address

Emily Nielsen
Street Address:
City: Rockwall
State : TX
Zip Code: 75087
Home Phone
Email
Are You a Rockwall Resident?

School Rockwall HS
Date of Birth
Grade 10
Age 15
Clubs/Activities Theatre, summer mentor for special needs students, tennis, Student Council Leadership, NCL, Youth group at FUMC

Why are you interested in the Youth Advisory Council?

Participation
requirements are part of the YAC program. Are you willing to commit to Yes the time \& effort required?

You can edit this submission and view all your submissions easily.


DAVID SWEET Rockwall County Judge

August 23, 2021

To Whom It May Concern:
I am writing regarding the character and recommendation for Emily Nielsen for her YAC application. Emily is a sophomore at Rockwall High School and is a straight-A student with a 4.97 GPA. Emily is a member of the National Junior Honor Society. She is also very active in her church, First United Methodist of Rockwall involving teaching at Vacation Bible Scholl the last three summers with one year being virtual VBS. Emily is very active in the National Charity League, Lone Star Chapter serving as Treasurer (2019-2020), Parliamentarian (20202021) and Recording Secretary (2021-Present). Emily volunteers with a number of organizations such as Meals on Wheels, Patriot Paws, Lone Star CASA and with the City of Rockwall. Emily is an exceptional citizen of the County of Rockwall, the City of Rockwall, and student within Rockwall High School. Emily treats everyone she meets with kindness and respect. I know Emily to be a dedicated student who has set goals for her future. Emily is a young woman with tremendous potential and destined to achieve great things in her life.


Subject:

From: Brandy Glover [Brandy.glover@rockwallisd.org](mailto:Brandy.glover@rockwallisd.org)
Sent: Thursday, August 19, 2021 11:22 AM
To: Cole, Kristy [KCole@rockwall.com](mailto:KCole@rockwall.com)
Subject: Emily Nielsen- YAC LOR
Hey!
I'm emailing you to recommend Emily for YAC. I had her in AP Human Geography last year and she is a phenomenal kid! She works with Meals on Wheels, National Charity League, Patriot Paws, Lone Star CASA, and she will be inducted into National Honor Society in a few weeks.

She is an honest and trustworthy person, but most importantly she is an incredibly hard worker and she always goes above and beyond. She will absolutely be an asset to the Youth Advisory Council if she is chosen!

Hope you guys pick her!
Brandy Glover
AP Human Geography
National Honor Society Sponsor
Tutoring times: Tuesday/Thursday 4:00-4:30 or by appointment

City of Rockwall
. The claw. Thosizon

# Building Inspections <br> Department <br> Monthly Report 

## July 2021

## Permits

Total Permits Issued: ..... 282
Building Permits: ..... 21
Contractor Permits: ..... 261
Total Commercial Permit Values: ..... \$2,596,436.00
Building Permits: ..... \$2,596,436.00Contractor Permits:
Total Fees Collected: ..... \$228,777.52
Building Permits: ..... \$73,344.59
Contractor Permits: ..... \$155,432.93
Board of Adjustment

| Type/Subtype | \# of Permits Issued | Valuation of Work | Fees Charged |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Commercial Building Permit | 41 | \$2,596,436.00 | \$21,782.99 |
| Cell Tower Permit | 1 | 10,000.00 | \$196.61 |
| Certificate of Occupancy | 7 |  | \$604.50 |
| Demolition | 2 | 10,000.00 | \$102.00 |
| Electrical Permit | 4 | 2,501.00 | \$304.66 |
| Irrigation Permit | 1 |  | \$76.50 |
| Plumbing Permit | 6 | 12,300.00 | \$470.55 |
| Remodel | 9 | 2,552,935.00 | \$17,307.17 |
| Retaining Wall Permit | 1 |  | \$51.00 |
| Roofing Permit | 1 |  | \$76.50 |
| Sign Permit | 6 | 8,700.00 | \$457.50 |
| Small Cell Node | 1 |  | \$1,530.00 |
| Temporary Certificate of Occupancy | 2 |  | \$606.00 |
| Residential Building Permit | 241 |  | \$206,994.53 |
| Accessory Building Permit | 8 |  | \$1,046.62 |
| Addition | 1 |  | \$915.76 |
| Concrete Permit | 12 |  | \$1,087.72 |
| Demolition | 2 |  | \$102.00 |
| Driveway Permit | 1 |  | \$71.40 |
| Electrical Permit | 9 |  | \$1,249.50 |
| Fence Permit | 29 |  | \$1,599.42 |
| Irrigation Permit | 30 |  | \$2,293.50 |
| Mechanical Permit | 30 |  | \$3,590.50 |
| New Construction | 12 |  | \$71,382.21 |
| New Single Family Residential | 18 |  | \$109,793.70 |
| Outdoor Kitchen Permit | 1 |  | \$125.00 |
| Patio Cover/Pergola | 4 |  | \$555.00 |
| Plumbing Permit | 23 |  | \$1,809.00 |
| Pool | 7 |  | \$1,059.00 |
| Remodel | 7 |  | \$3,932.91 |
| Retaining Wall Permit | 7 |  | \$360.00 |
| Roofing Permit | 24 |  | \$1,830.00 |
| Solar Panel Permit | 10 |  | \$3,887.29 |
| Window \& Door Permit | 6 |  | \$304.00 |
| Totals: | 282 |  | \$228,777.52 |


New Residential Permits $\quad$ Fiscal Year

## 

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

ens

,


| Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| January | 2 | 2 |
| February | 0 | 3 |
| March | 5 | 3 |
| April | 3 | 6 |
| May | 2 | 1 |
| June | 5 | 4 |
| July | 3 | 7 |
| August | 5 |  |
| September | 6 |  |
| October | 2 |  |
| November | 3 |  |
| December | 1 |  |
|  |  |  |
| Totals | $\mathbf{3 7}$ | 26 |






| $\begin{gathered} \text { yy } \\ \stackrel{y y}{*} \end{gathered}$ |  | ONO-OONNNO | $a$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | -0mm- 0 - 0 N No | 2 |
|  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 |



| Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2020 |  | 2021 |  |
| January | \$ | 2,375,000.00 | \$ | 885,000.00 |
| February | \$ | 995,000.00 | \$ | - |
| March | \$ | 14,500,000.00 | \$ | - |
| April | - |  | \$ | 2,900,000.00 |
| May |  | - | \$ | 35,500,000.00 |
| June | \$ | 9,244,001.00 | \$ | 2,080,000.00 |
| July | \$ | 1,445,000.00 | \$ | - |
| August | \$ | 3,284,065.00 |  |  |
| September | \$ | - |  |  |
| October | \$ | - |  |  |
| November | \$ | 2,800,000.00 |  |  |
| December | \$ | - |  |  |
| Totals | \$ | 34,643,066.00 | \$ | 41,365,000.00 |

New Commercial Value

| Year |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0 2 0}$ |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{y y}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ |  |  |  |
| October | $\$$ | $11,000,000.00$ | $\$$ | - |
| November |  | - | $\$$ | $2,800,000.00$ |
| December | $\$$ | $4,100,000.00$ | $\$$ | - |
| January | $\$$ | $2,375,000.00$ | $\$$ | $885,000.00$ |
| February | $\$$ | $995,000.00$ | $\$$ | - |
| March | $\$$ | $14,500,000.00$ | $\$$ | - |
| April |  | - | $\$$ | $2,900,000.00$ |
| May |  | - | $\$$ | $35,500,000.00$ |
| June | $\$$ | $9,244,001.00$ | $\$$ | $2,080,000.00$ |
| July | $\$$ | $1,445,000.00$ | $\$$ | - |
| August | $\$$ | $3,284,065.00$ |  |  |
| September | $\$$ | - |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Totals | $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 6 , 9 4 3 , 0 6 6 . 0 0}$ | $\$$ | $\mathbf{4 4 , 1 6 5 , 0 0 0 . 0 0}$ |



Commercial Remodel Permits $\quad$ Fiscal Year


| Year |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9 - 2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 0 - 2 0 2 1}$ |
| October | 0 | 6 |
| November | 3 | 5 |
| December | 3 | 3 |
| January | 3 | 1 |
| February | 4 | 1 |
| March | 6 | 17 |
| April | 2 | 3 |
| May | 6 | 4 |
| June | 8 | 8 |
| July | 1 | 9 |
| August | 5 |  |
| September | 2 |  |
|  |  | $\mathbf{5 7}$ |
| Totals | $\mathbf{4 3}$ |  |


| Year |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 2019-2020 |  | 2020-2021 |  |
| October | \$ | 274,121.49 | \$ | 242,859.42 |
| November | \$ | 116,656.13 | \$ | 296,217.55 |
| December | \$ | 205,859.61 | \$ | 272,486.48 |
| January | \$ | 427,697.11 | \$ | 214,263.11 |
| February | \$ | 134,061.03 | \$ | 193,245.03 |
| March | \$ | 521,238.63 | \$ | 354,901.19 |
| April | \$ | 169,632.18 | \$ | 306,654.35 |
| May | \$ | 196,119.77 | \$ | 778,422.17 |
| June | \$ | 906,969.19 | \$ | 561,245.38 |
| July | \$ | 880,396.43 | \$ | 228,777.52 |
| August | \$ | 294,303.58 |  |  |
| September | \$ | 401,730.63 |  |  |
| Totals | \$ | 4,528,785.78 | \$ | 3,449,072.20 |


| 8/2/2021 | City of Rockwall |  | Page 1 |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10:12:36AM | PERMITS ISSUED |  |  |  |  |  |
| For the Period 7/1/2021 to 7/31/2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Permit Number | Permit Type | Site Address |  |  |  |  |
| Application Date | Subtype | cel Num | Valuation | Total Fees |  | Fees Paid |
|  |  | Subdivision Name |  |  |  |  |
|  | Stat | Plan Number |  | Tota | QFT |  |
| COM2020-1994 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/12/2020 | Certificate of Occupancy | 316 S GOLIAD ST S. 201 | \$75.00 |  |  | \$75.00 |
| 07/22/2021 | ISSUED | ROCKWALL 75087 | 3,000.00 |  |  |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name | Contact Address |  |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | REVITAL LISA MENASCHE | 1588 N HILLS DR |  | ROCKWALL | TX | 75087 |
| Property Owner | DJ ROCKWALL LLC | 12900 PRESTON RD, \#615 |  | DALLAS | TX | 75230 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| COM2021-2802 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/04/2021 | Temporary Certificate of Occupancy | 310 S. Goliad St., Rockwall, TX 75087 |  | \$300.00 | \$300.00 |
| 07/12/2021 | ISSUED |  |  | 12,891.00 |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name C | Contact Address |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | Siren Rock Brewing Co. | 310 S. Goliad St. | Rockwall | TX | 75087 |
| Property Owner | Cory \& Eva Cannon | 310 S. Goliad St. | Rockwall | TX | 75087 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |  |


| COM2021-3129 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/21/2021 | Certificate of Occupancy | 3225 SPRINGER RD \# |  | \$76.50 | \$76.50 |
| 07/01/2021 | ISSUED | 107, ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |  | 4,500.00 |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name | Contact Address |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | JOSE SAUCEDO | 3225 SPRINGER LN. \#107 | Rockwall | TX | 75032 |
| Property Owner | NBN COMMERCIAL GROUP LLC | 2040 N BELT LINE RD, SUITE 400 | Mesquite | TX | 75150 |

## Contractors

| COM2021-325 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $01 / 21 / 2021$ | Certificate of Occupancy | 3007 Ridge Rd., |  |
| $07 / 27 / 2021$ | Rockwall, TX 75032 |  |  |

## PERMITS ISSUED

For the Period 7/1/2021 to 7/31/2021

| Permit Number | Permit Type | Site Address |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Parcel Number Total Fees |  |  |  |
| Application Date Subtype |  |  |  |  |  |
| Issue Date | Status of Permit | Subdivision Name |  |  |  |
|  |  | Plan Number | Valuation | Total SQFT | Fees Paid |
| Contact Type | Contact Name | Contact Address |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | Mike Hatcher |  |  |  |  |
| Property Owner | Mike Hatcher | 1407 S. Goliad St | Rockwall | TX | 75087 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |  |


| COM2021-3424 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/30/2021 | Temporary Certificate of Occupancy | y 2055 Kristy Ln., |  | \$300.00 | \$306.00 |
| 07/07/2021 | ISSUED | Rockwall, TX 75032 |  | 22,656.00 |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name C | Contact Address |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | BACON PROPERTIES, LLC. | 2055 Kristy Lane | Rockwall | TX | 75032 |
| Property Owner | BACON PROPERTIES, LLC. | 2055 Kristy Lane | Rockwall | TX | 75032 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |  |


| COM2021-3487 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $07 / 02 / 2021$ | Certificate of Occupancy | 965 W RALPH HALL | $\$ 75.00$ | $\$ 75.00$ |
| $07 / 14 / 2021$ | ISSUED | PKWY, S. 103, |  |  |
|  |  | ROCKWALL, TX 75032 |  |  |
| Contact Name |  |  |  |  |
| Contact Address |  |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | Garon Horton | 965 W. Ralph Hall Pkwy, Suite 103 | Rockwall | TX |
| Property Owner | 965 RHP 103, LLC | 965 W RALPH HALL PKWY | Rockwall | TX |
| Contractors |  |  |  | 75030 |


| COM2021-3488 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  | \$75.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/02/2021 | Certificate of Occupancy | 965 W RALPH HALL | \$75.00 |  |  |
| 07/14/2021 | ISSUED | PKWY, S. 105, ROCKWALL, TX 75032 | 1,200.00 |  |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name | Contact Address |  |  |  |
| Business Owner | Garon Horton | 965 W. Ralph Hall Pkwy, Suite 103 | Rockwall | TX | 75032 |
| Property Owner | 965 RHP 103, LLC | 965 W RALPH HALL PKWY | Rockwall | TX | 75032 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |  |


| COM2021-3572 | Commercial Building Permit |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 07/07/2021 | Certificate of Occupancy | 811 E YELLOW JACKET | \$76.50 | \$76.50 |
| 07/19/2021 | ISSUED | LN, S. 101, ROCKWALL, TX 75087 | 500.00 |  |
| Contact Type | Contact Name | Contact Address |  |  |
| Business Owner | VICKI PERKOWSKI | 811 E YELLOW JACKET LN, S. 101 | ROCKWALL TX | 75087 |
| Property Owner | Landlow, LLC. | 811 W. Yellowjacket Ln. | Rockwall TX | 75087 |
| Contractors |  |  |  |  |

## For the Period 7/1/2021 to 7/31/2021

|  |  | Site Address |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permit Number | Permit Type | Parcel Number |  |
| Application Date | Subtype | Subdivision Name |  |
| Issue Date | Status of Permit | Plan Number | Total Fees |
|  |  |  | Total Valuation: |
|  |  | Total Fees: $\$ 1,204.50$ |  |



July 2021 Monthly
Report

## Top 10 Call Types



## Incident Types

1 Incident Count
311 Medical assist, assist EMS crew
143
745 Alarm system activation, no fire - unintentional 15
322 Motor vehicle accident with injuries 15
611 Dispatched \& canceled en route 14
324 Motor vehicle accident with no injuries. 14
651 Smoke scare, odor of smoke
622 No incident found on arrival at dispatch address
550 Smoke Detector Battery Change/Install
412 Gas leak (natural gas or LPG)
735 Alarm system sounded due to malfunction
111 Building fire
131 Passenger vehicle fire (cars, pickups, SUV's)
652 Steam, vapor, fog or dust thought to be smoke
700 False alarm or false call, other
365 Watercraft rescue
733 Smoke detector activation due to malfunction
444 Power line down
631 Authorized controlled burning
743 Smoke detector activation, no fire - unintentional
522 Water or steam leak
154 Dumpster or other outside trash receptacle fire
445 Arcing, shorted electrical equipment
520 Water problem, other
132 Road freight or transport vehicle fire (Commercial Vehicles)
462 Aircraft standby
460 Accident, potential accident, other
440 Electrical wiring/equipment problem, other
740 Unintentional transmission of alarm, other
510 Person in distress, other
442 Overheated motor
744 Detector activation, no fire - unintentional
323 Motor vehicle/pedestrian accident (MV Ped)
731 Sprinkler activation due to malfunction
118 Trash or rubbish fire, contained
141 Forest/ Woods, or Wildland fire
331 Lock-in (if lock out, use 511)
142 Brush or brush-and-grass mixture fire
661 EMS call, party transported by non-fire agency
421 Chemical hazard (no spill or leak)
361 Swimming/recreational water areas rescue
730 System malfunction, other
Grand Total

July 2021 Dispatch to Arrival Analysis

| District | $\qquad$ | Percent of Runs per District | Number of Calls in 5.5 mins or Less | Average FD Response Time Minutes | \% in 5.5 min <br> or less | Goal of 90\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 1 | 76 | 31\% | 62 | 0:04:39 | 82\% | 90\% |
| District 2 | 69 | 28\% | 54 | 0:04:35 | 78\% | 90\% |
| District 3 | 37 | 15\% | 32 | 0:04:31 | 86\% | 90\% |
| District 4 | 39 | 16\% | 31 | 0:04:20 | 79\% | 90\% |
| District 5 | 6 | 2\% | 4 | 0:04:53 | 67\% | 90\% |
| District 6 | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 0:06:39 | 0\% | 90\% |
| District 7 | 15 | 6\% | 4 | 0:06:47 | 27\% | 90\% |
| District 8 | 1 | 0\% | 1 | 0:05:01 | 100\% | 90\% |
| District 9 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0:00:00 | No Calls | 90\% |
| Department | 244 | 100\% | 188 | 0:04:42 | 77\% | 90\% |

## July 2021 - \% of Code 3 Calls with Fire Dept Response Time of 5.5 mins or less by District

$0.00 \%$


July 2021 Travel Time by District

| District | Total <br> Number of Calls | Percent of <br> Runs per <br> District | Number of <br> Calls in 4 or Less | Average Travel <br> Time Minutes | \% in 4 min orless | Goal of $90 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| District 1 | 76 | 31\% | 58 | 0:03:21 | 76\% | 90\% |
| District2 | 69 | 28\% | 50 | 0:03:30 | 72\% | 90\% |
| District3 | 37 | 15\% | 31 | 0:03:31 | 84\% | 90\% |
| District 4 | 39 | 16\% | 30 | 0:03:18 | 77\% | 90\% |
| District5 | 6 | 2\% | 3 | 0:04:00 | 50\% | 90\% |
| District6 | 1 | 0\% | 0 | 0:05:14 | 0\% | 90\% |
| District 7 | 15 | 6\% | 2 | 0:05:16 | 13\% | 90\% |
| District 8 | 1 | 0\% | 1 | 0:03:57 | 100\% | 90\% |
| District9 | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0:00:00 | No Calls | 90\% |
| Department | 244 | 100\% | 175 | 0:03:33 | 72\% | 90\% |

July 2021 - \% of Code 3 Calls with Travel Time of 4 mins or less by District


## Total Dollar Losses

July 2021

| Print Date/Time: | 08/18/2021 11:51 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Login ID: | rcklihatcher |
| Layer: | All |
| Areas: | All |

ORI Number: TX504
Incident Type: All Station: All

|  | Current Month | Last Month | Same Month Last Year | Year To Date | Last Year To Date |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total Property Loss: | $\$ 23,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 156,700.00$ | $\$ 237,350.00$ | $\$ 193,913.00$ |
| Total Content Loss: | $\$ 5,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 91,700.00$ | $\$ 84,600.00$ | $\$ 137,952.00$ |
| Total Property Pre-Incident Value: | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 546,007.00$ | $\$ 27,606,129.00$ | $\$ 30,729,862.00$ |
| Total Contents Pre-Incident Value | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 90,500.00$ | $\$ 11,107,699.60$ | $\$ 1,183,200.00$ |
| Total Losses: | $\$ 28,000.00$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 248,400.00$ | $\$ 321,950.00$ | $\$ 28,000.00$ |
| Total Value: | $\$ 3,000.00$ | $\$ .00$ | $\$ 636,507.00$ | $\$ 38,713,828.60$ | $\$ 31,913,062.00$ |

## Fire Marshal Division <br> July 2021 Report

| Inspections Conducted |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total for the Month | 92 |


| Plan Reviews Completed |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total for the Month | 67 |


| Permits Issued |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total for the Month | 7 |


| Public Education Events |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Total for the Month | 4 |


| Fire Investigations |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Active Investigations | 0 |
| Closed Investigations | 3 |
| Total for the Month | 3 |





SWIM LESSONS - APPROXIMATELY 135 PARTICIPANTS PER SESSION


SUMMER ROCK CAMP - AVERAGE 35 KIDS PER DAY


CONCERT BY THE LAKE - APPROXIMATELY 2500 ATTENDEES

## MONTHLY OVERVIEW

JULY '21

Part Time Labor Hours

Program Offerings
17

Program Participants

## 10802

Resident Participants
6483

Non-Resident Participants
4319

Programs that Made

## 17

Cancelled Programs
0
\% of Programs Cancelled
0\%

## FEE BASED RESIDENT VS NON-RESIDENT

17 programs


## RENTALS



HMCC
JULY '21
Time Blocks Rented
27
Monthly Revenue

HMCC RENTAL ACTIVITY BY TIME BLOCK
27 Rentals
6-11 AM
11 AM - 5 PM
5-11 PM


## PAVILIONS

Time Blocks Rented
Monthly Revenue

## 31

## $\$ 1240$

PAVILION RENTAL ACTIVITY BY TIME BLOCK
31 Rentals
6 AM - 3 PM
3-11 PM

$5.2 \%$

## PARKS



#  

July 4th Firework Event

# Fachiry R=NOYATION: <br> Pickleball Courts at the Park at Hickory Ridge 



# EMPLOY = = SPOTLCHTH <br> Lifeguards receive Civilian Lifesaving Awards 

## FAc|-HY CONETRUCHON

The Park at Terracina


## MARKETING

## FACEBOOK PAGE LIKES



## B Like <br> 16,117

ONLINE REGISTRATION ACCOUNTS THROUGH ACTIVE

## ACCOUNTS <br> JUN 10356 <br> JUL 10417 <br> +55



RESIDENT VS NON-RESIDENT ACCOUNTS

## PLAYROCKWALL.COM PERFORMANCE METRICS

# PLATROCKWALL.COM <br> PAGEVIEWS <br> Pageviews represent the total individual pages viewed by visitors to playrockwall.com within the month of July 2021. <br> <br> 136,312 

 <br> <br> 136,312}

## SESSIONS

Sessions represent an individual collection of a user's visit while viewing pages on playrockwall.com

## REVENUE

FEE BASED PROGRAM REVENUE BY MONTH
3 fiscal years


FACILITY REVENUE BY MONTH
3 fiscal years
FY 18-19
FY 19-20
FY 20-21

-2.5K

## Rockwall Police Department

Monthly Activity Report
July-2021

| ACTIVITY | CURRENT MONTH | PREVIOUS MONTH | YTD | YTD | YTD \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | JULY | JUNE | 2021 | 2020 | CHANGE |

PART 1 OFFENSES

| Homicide / Manslaughter | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sexual Assault | 2 | 0 | 12 | 4 | $\mathbf{2 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| Robbery | 0 | 0 | 3 | 7 | $\mathbf{- 5 7 . 1 4 \%}$ |
| Aggravated Assault | 3 | 4 | 20 | 14 | $\mathbf{4 2 . 8 6 \%}$ |
| Burglary | 3 | 1 | 21 | 39 | $\mathbf{- 4 6 . 1 5 \%}$ |
| Larceny | 57 | 42 | 377 | 367 | $\mathbf{2 . 7 2 \%}$ |
| Motor Vehicle Theft | 5 | 3 | 33 | 40 | $\mathbf{- 1 7 . 5 0 \%}$ |
| TOTAL PART I | $\mathbf{7 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 0}$ | $\mathbf{4 6 6}$ | $\mathbf{4 7 1}$ | $\mathbf{- 1 . 0 6 \%}$ |
| TOTAL PART II | $\mathbf{1 4 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 1}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 2}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 1 2 \%}$ |
| TOTAL OFFENSES | $\mathbf{2 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{1 3 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 0 . 4 5 \%}$ |

ADDITIONAL STATISTICS

| FAMILY VIOLENCE | 8 | 15 | 76 | 86 | $\mathbf{- 1 1 . 6 3 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D.W.I. | 17 | 14 | 130 | 90 | $\mathbf{4 4 . 4 4 \%}$ |

ARRESTS

| FELONY | 29 | 24 | 162 | 192 | $\mathbf{- 1 5 . 6 3 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MISDEMEANOR | 52 | 49 | 332 | 324 | $\mathbf{2 . 4 7 \%}$ |
| WARRANT ARREST | 7 | 11 | 53 | 66 | $\mathbf{- 1 9 . 7 0 \%}$ |
| JUVENILE | 4 | 0 | 16 | 31 | $\mathbf{- 4 8 . 3 9 \%}$ |
| TOTAL ARRESTS | $\mathbf{9 2}$ | $\mathbf{8 4}$ | $\mathbf{5 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{6 1 3}$ | $\mathbf{- 8 . 1 6 \%}$ |

DISPATCH

| CALLS FOR SERVICE | 2336 | 2184 | 14500 | 9699 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $49.50 \%$ |  |  |  |  |

ACCIDENTS

| INJURY | 5 | 8 | 30 | 90 | $\mathbf{- 6 6 . 6 7 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NON-INJURY | 58 | 92 | 485 | 287 | $\mathbf{6 8 . 9 9 \%}$ |
| FATALITY | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | $\mathbf{- 1 0 0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| TOTAL | $\mathbf{6 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{5 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 7 8}$ | $\mathbf{3 6 . 2 4 \%}$ |

FALSE ALARMS

| RESIDENT ALARMS | 48 | 40 | 293 | 293 | $\mathbf{0 . 0 0 \%}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BUSINESS ALARMS | 154 | 150 | 980 | 942 | $\mathbf{4 . 0 3 \%}$ |
| TOTAL FALSE ALARMS | $\mathbf{2 0 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 9 0}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 7 3}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 3 5}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 8 \%}$ |
| Estimated Lost Hours | $\mathbf{1 3 3 . 3 2}$ | $\mathbf{1 2 5 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{8 4 0 . 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{8 1 5 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 8 \%}$ |
| Estimated Cost | $\mathbf{\$ 3 , 1 7 1 . 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 , 9 8 3 . 0 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 9 8 6 . 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 , 3 8 9 . 5 0}$ | $\mathbf{3 . 0 8 \%}$ |

ROCKWALL NARCOTICS UNIT

|  | Number of Cases | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Arrests | 6 |
|  | Arrest Warrants | 2 |
|  | Search Warrants | 4 |
|  |  |  |
|  | Cocaine | 9 kg |
|  | Heroin | 2.2 kg |
|  | Marijuana | 10 z |
|  | Methamphetamine | 4 g |
|  | Money | \$250,000.00 |
|  | Weapons | 2 |

## Rockwall Police Department

Dispatch and Response Times
July 2021

## Police Department

|  | Average Response Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Priority 1 |  | Number of Calls | 143 |
| Call to Dispatch | 0:01:16 |  |  |
| Call to Arrival <br> \% over 7 minutes | 0:05:51 |  |  |
|  | 28\% |  |  |
|  | Average Response Time |  | 903 |
| Priority 2 |  | Number of Calls |  |
| Call to Dispatch | 0:02:19 |  |  |
| Call to Arrival <br> \% over 7 minutes | 0:09:51 |  |  |
|  | 15\% |  |  |
|  | Average Response Time |  |  |
| Priority 3 |  | Number of Calls | 64 |
| Call to Dispatch | 0:02:31 |  |  |
| Call to Arrival | 0:10:34 |  |  |
| \% over 7 minutes | 41\% |  |  |

Average dispatch response time goals are as follows:
Priority 1: 1 Minute
Priority 2: 1 Minute, 30 Seconds
Priority 3: 3 Minutes

Sales Tax Collections - Rolling 36 Months


## Notes:

$75 \%$ of total sales tax collected is deposited to the General Fund each month

Comptroller tracks sales tax generated in the TIF and reports it monthly

75\% of TIF sales tax (city share) is pledged to the TIF

Monthly Water Consumption - Rolling 27 Months

|  | Total Gallons | Daily Average | Maximum Day |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May-19 | 246,447,588 | 7,949,923 | 10,806,480 |
| Jun-19 | 273,477,588 | 9,115,919 | 12,818,660 |
| Jul-19 | 479,403,830 | 15,464,640 | 19,686,560 |
| Aug-19 | 557,577,730 | 17,986,380 | 20,877,020 |
| Sep-19 | 480,076,300 | 16,002,544 | 19,898,562 |
| Oct-19 | 377,192,895 | 12,167,513 | 17,708,812 |
| Nov-19 | 237,328,307 | 7,910,944 | 9,218,867 |
| Dec-19 | 229,083,044 | 7,389,776 | 8,396,266 |
| Jan-20 | 215,978,847 | 6,967,060 | 8,691,306 |
| Feb-20 | 196,611,134 | 6,779,695 | 7,579,604 |
| Mar-20 | 197,281,791 | 6,363,929 | 8,569,168 |
| Apr-20 | 226,508,245 | 7,550,275 | 10,263,848 |
| May-20 | 317,650,425 | 10,246,788 | 13,193,218 |
| Jun-20 | 455,022,410 | 15,167,411 | 20,100,668 |
| Jul-20 | 511,667,880 | 16,505,415 | 20,073,454 |
| Aug-20 | 590,693,550 | 19,054,630 | 22,031,522 |
| Sep-20 | 363,112,688 | 12,103,756 | 14,870,959 |
| Oct-20 | 397,801,934 | 12,832,320 | 15,751,199 |
| Nov-20 | 295,091,494 | 9,836,383 | 11,452,738 |
| Dec-20 | 179,571,968 | 7,371,629 | 8,653,526 |
| Jan-21 | 157,800,928 | 6,718,182 | 7,179,987 |
| Feb-21 | 199,821,312 | 8,288,901 | 17,044,360 |
| Mar-21 | 230,130,315 | 7,423,560 | 9,739,996 |
| Apr-21 | 289,545,756 | 9,651,525 | 12,683,656 |
| May-21 | 247,421,005 | 7,981,324 | 10,400,411 |
| Jun-21 | 342,904,230 | 11,430,141 | 16,988,604 |
| Jul-21 | 446,687,809 | 14,409,284 | 17,918,524 |

Source: SCADA Monthly Reports generated at the Water Pump Stations


## Average and Max Day

27,000,000
22,000,000
17,000,000
12,000,000
7,000,000
2,000,000



[^0]:    Cain Middle School
    6620 FM 3097, Rockwall, TX 75032
    Phone 972-772-1170 ext. 5105
    Fax 972-772-2414

